3 1761 04009 2991

Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2007 with funding from
Microsoft Corporation

http://www.archive.org/details/napoleonillustra00bailuoft

NAPOLEON

Delaroche. pinx.

Buckingham Palace

Napoleon at Fontainebleau.

Reproduced by permission of C. Klackner 20 Old Bond Str. London and 7 West 28th Str. New York. Alt. A. Publisher of the Engraving.

216
.Yb

NAPOLEON

Illustrated with Prints from Contemporary and other Portraits

by

J. T. Herbert Baily

12825-D
14/6/13

LONDON:
PUBLISHED BY
THE CONNOISSEUR MAGAZINE
CARMELITE HOUSE, E.C.

1908

3

The Cranford Press

GEO. PULMAN AND SONS, LTD.
LONDON AND WEALDSTONE.

Dedicated

To My Friend

Alfred

Lord Northcliffe

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS.

Napoléon at Fontainebleau. *By Delaroche*	*Frontispiece*	
Napoléon in his Coronation Robes. *By Baron Gérard* ...	*Colour Plate*	Facing page 9
Marie Louise. *By N. Bertrand*	„	„ 12
Napoléon le Grand. *By N. Bertrand*	„	„ 12
Napoléon 1er Empereur. *By P. M. Alix, after Garnerey* ...	„	„ 16
Napoléon. *From a Miniature*	„	„ 20
Napoléon le Grand. *By A. Boucher Desnoyers, after F. Gérard* ...	*Collotype*	„ 24
Napoléon Bonaparte 1er Consul. *By P. M. Alix, after Appiani* ...	*Colour Plate*	„ 28
Bonaparte 1er Consul. *By C. Moret, after Appiani*	„	„ 32
Napoléon. *By Coquere, after Hiliare le Dru*	*Collotype*	„ 36
Napoléon 1er., Empereur des Français & Roi d'Italie. *By J. B. Morret, after Garnerey*	*Colour Plate*	„ 40
Napoléon, late Emperor of France. *By H. Meyer, after I. Isabey*	„	„ 48
Napoléon. *By Henry, after Vignen*	„	„ 56
Bonaparte. *By C. F. G. Levachez*	„	„ 64
Napoléon in Egypt. *By Edouard Detaille, K.C.V.O.*... ...	„	„ 72
Napoléon I. *By Delaroche*	„	„ 80
Napoléon. *By Philippoteaux*	Page	89
Bonaparte at Arcole. *By Gros*	„	90
Bonaparte Reviewing the Consular Guards. *By C. Turner, after Masquerier*	„	91
Bonaparte, 1st Consul, at Malmaison. *By Isabey*	„	92
Napoléon Crossing the Alps. *By David*	„	93
Buonaparte. *By C. H. Hodges, after J. T. Rusca*	„	94
Napoléon at Friedland. *By Horace Vernet*	„	95
Buonaparte. *By Alix, after Fragonard fils*	„	96
Napoléon at Jena. *By Horace Vernet*	„	97
Napoléon, 1812. *By Girodet-Troison*	„	98
Napoléon at Wagram. *By Horace Vernet*	„	99
Napoléon, 1814. *By Meissonier*	„	100
The Meeting of Napoléon and Francis II. *By Gros*	„	101
Napoléon. *By W. Fairland, after Charlet*	„	102
L'Apogée. *By C. A. Coppier, after J. L. E. Meissonier*	„	103
L'Empereur. *By L. Ruet, after Meissonier*	„	104
Napoléon le Grand. *By Thiébaut, after Desvais*	„	105
Napoléon at St. Helena. *By Laporte, after Paul Delaroche*	„	106
Napoléon on the Bellerophon. *By C. L. Eastlake, R.A.*	„	107
Napoléon. *By Vallot & Laugier, after David*	„	108
Napoléon. *After Chatillon*	„	109

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS—continued.

Napoléon Bonaparte. *By W. Nicholls*	Page	110
Napoléon Buonaparte *By J. Duplessi-Berteaux*	„	111
Napoléon Buonaparte. *By Robert Cooper, after David*	„	112
Napoléon Buonaparte. *By T. Lupton, after Robert Lefévre*	„	113
Napoléon Empereur. *By P. Audouin, after Vauthier*	„	114
Sketch of Napoléon from life	„	115
Napoléon. *By C. H. Hodges*	„	116
Siege of St. Jean D'Acre. *By Charlet*	„	117
Bonaparte as Sentry. *By Charlet*	„	118
Napoléon. *By Charlet*	„	119
Napoléon Distributing Eagles to His Army. *By David*	„	120
The Column of Rosbach. *By Debret*	„	120
Napoléon at the Palais de Schoenbrunn. *By L. Marin*	„	121
Bonaparte Entering Vienna. *By Girodet*	„	122
Napoléon at Austerlitz. *By C. Vernet*	„	123
Napoléon at Eylau. *By Gros*...	„	123
"A Nous Deux L'Europe"	„	124
Bonaparte, General en Chef. *By Charlet*	„	125
1805. *By Charlet*	„	125
General Rapp announcing to the Emperor the Retreat of the Russians at Austerlitz. *By Gérard*	„	125
The Exile and the Rock Limpet. *By J. M. W. Turner, R.A.*	„	126

Certain of the prints illustrated are reproduced by kind permission of the following: Messrs. Maggs, Bros.; Mr. C. Klackner; Mr. Francis Edwards; Mr. T. G. Litchfield; Messrs. F. Muller & Co.; P. Berney Ficklin, Esq., F.S.A.; and the Rev. R. A. Gatty.

NAPOLEON IN HIS CORONATION ROBES

After the Picture by Baron Gérard, executed in Gobelins Tapestry by order of the Emperor. The Property of Henry Cumming, Esq

NAPOLEON.

HUNDREDS of books have been written in many languages describing and analysing the Napoleonic drama into which were crowded during a few swift years the greatest campaigns of modern warfare, the breaking down of political boundaries, the making and unmaking of Kings and dynasties, and the revolutionary struggles of many peoples and nations, from which came forth the new spirit of democracy. So have hundreds of books been written about the man who marched through Europe with his triumphant legions, who founded a great Empire with the proudest nations for its provinces, who gave the watchword of liberty to people long enslaved by feudal power, who was the Dictator of the new order, and who then, attacked by the combined forces of Europe, and abandoned by the men he had raised from nothing, surrendered to powers mightier even than his own genius.

Napoleon has not lacked biographers! Every phase of his life has been minutely chronicled. Every aspect of his character has been dissected. Among those who in his lifetime came within the circle of his amazing influence, who fought with him in his great wars, or worked under him in the affairs of State, many poured out on paper their memories of this overpowering personality. Many hated him, among those who had received the greatest favours from him it is remarkable how many returned his favours by venomous and lying hatred—but they were bound to admit the immensity of his genius, and often there slips into their narrative some anecdote which reveals the man's generosity and magnanimity.

Even now, when his dust has lain long in the tomb, and when all that was the work of his brain has been changed or modified by new men and new forces, the name of Napoleon still stirs people with a kind of passion. His memory is worshipped by some with a kind of idolatry. To them he has become a species of demi-god, an heroic myth. Others still write and speak of him as though he had done them personal injury. In this country we have not yet forgotten the tradition of "the Corsican Ogre." There are still good people, who do not ordinarily use strong or harsh words, who denounce Napoleon as an incarnate fiend—as a cold, ruthless, heartless man, even as a madman intoxicated by the smell of blood. Yet that tradition is dying. We, at least, who conquered him and sent him to a miserable exile can afford to be generous now. But we cannot afford to forget him, or to ignore him as the greatest and most extraordinary revelation of the power of personality. It is well that men of intellect and leadership like Lord Rosebery should still seek to discover the secrets of his mind, knowing that in Napoleon's life and character there are the secrets of all great leadership, of all mastery over other men, and over circumstances which seem like Fate itself.

The soul of Napoleon is an eternal riddle, and it will never be completely solved. We may know the great drama of which he was the central and dominant figure, we may study the details of each act and get with fair accuracy the facts of history, but it is more difficult to see the drama enacted in the man's own brain. That was the greatest drama, the thing most worth knowing. What was the psychological effect of all his great adventures upon Napoleon himself? What were the principles which carried him on to such supremacy? What was the weakness which in spite of his surprising strength of will caused his ultimate failure? How did this man who for a time seemed to be the master of destiny look out upon life, and upon the great problems of mankind?

What, underneath all his diplomacy and statecraft (which made him shape his actions according to circumstances) was the guiding law of his life, his ideal, or to use another word in a sense not ordinarily used, his religion ?

More intensely interesting than the story of Napoleon's battles and of his jugglings with European Powers, would be the story of Napoleon's soul. That has never been told, but at least, putting aside passion and prejudice, we may get near to it. We may see something of the spirituality that uplifted him in moments of great emotion. We may understand something of the inner faith of a man who threw over all conventional religious dogma. We may, by reading the thousands of anecdotes that have been recorded of him, realise a little more clearly wherein lay the secret of his mastery over men, and get to the centre as it were of his sublime egotism. Neither demi-god nor devil, we must study him as a man with simple affections and simple impulses like other men. Though he was sometimes a consummate actor, when he was the central figure of public ceremonies, or when he issued his edicts to conquered powers, we must get away from the prejudice, too strongly planted in English minds, that he was always an actor, and a *poseur*. That is a primary mistake which has for long obscured the soul of Napoleon. For, as a rule, no man was more natural, even when there were the strongest temptations to be theatrical, and it is just because he was blunt in his speech and unaffected in his manners that we may, with some certainty, discover the secrets of his inner life.

There are people who will maintain that Napoleon was a soulless man. And certainly when one reflects upon the thousands of human lives sacrificed for his ambition, upon his apparent lack of any higher ideal than that of "glory" for the inspiration of his legions, one may be tempted at first to disbelieve in his spirituality. But the truth is that Napoleon was no atheist or philosopher of materialism.

He would have been the first to pour scorn upon those modern Frenchmen who are trying to banish religion from the schools and the nation.

Napoleon was intensely spiritual. He lived always with the consciousness of a higher and supernatural power in whose hands he was but a pawn.

Barry O'Meara, his surgeon at St. Helena, left an anecdote of how he found Napoleon in his bath one day, reading a little book which he perceived to be a French New Testament.

"I could not help observing to him that many people would not believe that he could read such a book, as it had been asserted, and credited by some, that he was an unbeliever. Napoleon laughed and replied, 'Nevertheless, it is not true. I am far from being an atheist. In spite of all the iniquities and frauds of teachers of religion, who are eternally preaching that their Kingdom is not of this world, and yet seize everything which they can lay hands upon, from the time that I arrived at the head of the Government I did everything in my power to re-establish religion. Man has need of something supernatural. It is better for him to seek it in religion than in Mdlle. de Normand.* Moreover, religion is a great consolation and resource to those who possess it, and no man can pronounce what he will do in his last moments.'"

Napoleon's famous "Concordat" with the Pope, by which he brought back religion to France and opened the churches to the old faith, cost him, he said once, more trouble than any of his battles. He was violently opposed by men of the Revolution who had chased the Priests from the country and had scorned Robespierre for acknowledging a supernatural power by his "Feast of the Supreme Being." A heated controversy took place one evening on the terrace of the garden at Napoleon's villa of

* The Society fortune-teller of Paris.

MARIE LOUISE,

Impératrice des Français,

Reine d'Italie.

Gravé par N. Bertrand

NAPOLÉON LE GRAND,

Empereur des Français,

Roi d'Italie.

Gravé par N. Bertrand

Malmaison. The Chief Consul, as he then was, admitted that he was not an orthodox Christian. "But religion," he said, "is a principle which cannot be eradicated from the heart of man."

He rose from his chair and looked up at the clear sky in which the stars shone brightly. "Who made all that?" he asked solemnly. Then after a pause, he said, with evident emotion, "Only last Sunday evening I was walking here alone when the Church bells of the village of Rueil rang at sunset. I was strongly moved, so vividly did the image of early days come back with that sound. If it be thus with me, what must it be with others? In re-establishing the Church, I consult the wishes of the great majority of the people."

There is one profound utterance of Napoleon in Roederer's memoirs, which shows how deeply he had thought on the subject of religion, and how boldly he differed from the social philosophy of his time.

"There is but one means of getting good manners," he said, "and that is by establishing religion. Society cannot exist without inequality of fortune, and inequality of fortune cannot exist without religion. When a man is dying of hunger by the side of one who gourmandizes, it is impossible for him to agree to this difference unless there be some authority to say to him, 'God wills it so; there must be poor and rich in this world, but afterwards, and during eternity, the division will be made otherwise.'"

Napoleon was not a Catholic in the orthodox sense of the word—though he used to cross himself in moments of strong excitement, and often mocked at the superstition and worldliness of many of the clergy, but, at the same time, he never permitted any sacrilegious conduct among his soldiers, and he had that true catholicity of spirit which enables a man to reverence the faith of others. He has been ridiculed for his behaviour in Egypt when

he said, "There is only one God and Mahomet is His Prophet," and when he assured the Mohammedans that all French soldiers were of that faith; but there is every reason to believe that he was speaking with a sincerity that was deeper than the narrow faith of those who doubted him. He believed, if we may give any credence to his reiterated statements at all periods of his life, in an Omnipotent Presence, and that Mahomet and other great leaders of faith were touched by divine faith, though not in themselves divine. Mahomet specially appealed to him as a soldier and a law-maker.

Speaking to General Bertrand Napoleon once said, "I see in Lycurgus, Numa, and Mohammed only legislators who, having the first rank in the State, have sought the best solution of the social problem; but I see nothing there which reveals divinity. They themselves have never raised their pretensions so high. As for me, I recognise the gods and these great men as being like myself. They have performed a lofty part in their time as I have done. Nothing announces them divine. On the contrary there are numerous resemblances between them and myself, foibles and errors which ally them to me and to humanity.

"It is not so with Christ. Everything in Him astonishes me. Between Him and whoever else in the world there is no possible term of comparison. He is truly a Being by Himself; His ideas and His sentiments, the truth which He announces, His manner of convincing, are not explained by human organisation or by the nature of things.

"His birth and the history of His life; the profundity of His doctrine, which grapples the mightiest difficulties, and which is of those difficulties the most admirable solution; His Gospel, His apparition, His empire, His march across the ages and the realms, everything is to me a prodigy, an insoluble mystery which plunges me into a reverie from which I cannot escape, a mystery which

is there before my eyes, a mystery which I can never deny nor explain. Here I see nothing human."

There are many evidences that in spite of occasional loose talk Napoleon had at the heart of him an almost simple reverence. He specially disliked the outrageous flattery which during the zenith of his power compared him, in the hyperbolical language of the Latin race, to a divinity. When in 1807 designs for a new coinage were submitted him with the motto, "*Napoleone protegge l'Italia,*" the Emperor wrote on the margin: 'This is most unsuitable; the word proposed in place of 'God protect' is indecent."

In the following year he wrote to his minister of marine—

"I dispense you from comparing me to God. The phrase is so singular and irreverent that I wish to believe that you did not reflect upon what you were writing."

These examples are not given with the idea of proving that Napoleon was a religious man in the ordinary sense of the word. He was not. He was a son of that Revolution which had revolted, not only against the old regime, but against the old faith.

He was untouched with the fire of the reformer or the fanatic. He had indeed in everyday conversation some of the modern French *bourgeois* camaraderie with the "*bon dieu,*" and we all know his cynical philosophy that "God was on the side of the big battalions." But he was too great a man, he had too much imagination to be an absolute materialist.

Like the great soldiers of an older world he believed in signs and portents. On the morning of his great victory at Austerlitz, the sun rose with unusual splendour, and he regarded it as the promise of glory for the French arms, and afterwards "the sun of Austerlitz" became a proverb with him and with his veterans, so that whenever there was a brilliant dawn on the morning of a battle they would speak of it as an assured sign of victory. And

on the other hand a slight accident would sometimes seem an omen of ill-fortune.

Once, at the outset of the terrible Russian Campaign of 1812, his horse stumbled and he was thrown to the ground. It was in the darkness and his officer heard a voice say, "A Roman would turn back." It sounded like the voice of Napoleon himself.

But he did not turn back, because he believed that Fate impelled him forwards. This fatalistic belief was his greatest strength as well as his greatest weakness. It gave him an utter carelessness of danger. It carried him forward to the heights of impossibility. It made him march steadily to his own ruin. He believed that all his acts were predestined. "A man cannot avoid his destiny," he said to O'Meara. "I am too much of a fatalist," he said at another time, "to take any precautions against assassination. When I was in Paris I used to go out and intermingle with the populace without my guards, and was frequently surrounded by them so closely that I could not move." "When a man's time is come he must go . . . When destiny wills it must be obeyed."

Napoleon's constant references to "his star" was only another way of expressing his belief in predestination.

When Cardinal Fesch was arguing very violently with the Emperor just before the Campaign of 1812, when to most men it seemed madness to defy the Russian climate, he warned Napoleon that if he made war with the elements as well as with mankind—with heaven and earth at the same time—he would be crushed.

As an answer to this attack the Emperor took him by the hand and, leading him to the window, opened it and said, "Do you see that star, high up there?" "No, Sire," said the Cardinal. "Look again." "Sire, I do not see it." "Ah," said Napoleon, gravely, "I can see it."

NAPOLÉON Ier
EMPEREUR
DES FRANÇAIS
ROI D'ITALIE

The Cardinal was silent, abashed by what he thought was the colossal ambition of a man who would make even the stars subordinate to his pride.

But Napoleon's meaning was different. He saw the star of his destiny which must be fulfilled.

These things show that Napoleon had a sense of the supernatural and was not without a superstition which came to him, no doubt, with his Corsican blood and early education. But this occasional homage to unknown powers outside himself did not take the place of a religion with him. His religion—that is to say, his law of life—was essentially practical and humanitarian. It was the pride of personality, or to put it in different words, the power of the human will. Napoleon was the greatest "individualist." The whole gospel of his life was the right of the individual to reach the highest success the world can offer—"*la carrière ouverte aux talents.*" That was his abiding faith, and he was unswerving in his devotion to this ideal. Although he was fired as a young man with the ardour of revolution, and wrote, almost as soon as he could write French at all, a History of Corsica, in which the most advanced republicanism animates every page, he was too close an observer of human nature even in his youth to become a disciple of the socialistic dreams which intoxicated such democrats as Rousseau and Robespierre.

As we have seen, he believed that while this world lasted there would be inequality of fortune in any society. His ideal of liberty therefore was not the levelling of men to one class, but the breaking down of the old barriers which prevented a man from rising from one class to another. He had no great opinion of democracy and the mass, no opinion at all of mob-law.

"I frankly declare," he once said, "that if I had to choose between the old Monarchy and Jacobin misrule I should infinitely prefer the former."

He did, however, hold with absolute sincerity that every man born into the world should have the opportunity and the inalienable right of passing by talent and force of personality out of the ranks to the highest places of command. He acted up to his belief at every period of his life.

To his soldiers he said, "Every one of you carries in his knapsack a field-marshal's bâton," and they were not empty words. Junot shouldered a musket in 1792, attracted the notice of young Napoleon at Toulon, became his adjutant in Egypt, and was made Governor of Portugal and Duc d'Abrantes.

Murat, the son of an innkeeper, became general of division and King of Naples. Marmont served under Napoleon at Toulon, became a general of brigade in Egypt, a general of division after Marengo, and a Duke after Castelanoro. Nearly all the great generals of Napoleon owed their rapid rise to his quick eye for merit. His junior officers were promoted from the ranks for special service in the field. It was a knowledge of this which enabled him to call and call again upon the young manhood of France. It was this which inspired his armies with a military ardour never before seen. The youngest conscript who left his village might boast that he would come back a captain of artillery, or of the line. Too often he never came back, but the boast was many times fulfilled. Not a man who shouldered a musket in the Grand Army but believed that if he could do some special service for "*le petit caporal*" he would get the reward of merit; and they were right. Napoleon never forgot to reward, though often he deliberately forgot to punish. If he could not know the service of each man in his regiments he would make each company the judges of its own heroes.

The Comte de Ségur gives a vivid little picture of Napoleon's *camaraderie* with his men, and his methods of rewarding them. It was at the beginning of the Campaign of 1812 from which so

few returned. They had already suffered in body and spirit during the long marches towards an enemy that always vanished, and through great sombre plains where desolation reigned. The Emperor himself had revealed in his tent to his closest companions in arms some signs of the awful sense of impending calamity which weighed down his spirit. But to his men he was still "*le petit caporal*."

"Following his custom, he walks slowly down the ranks. He knows in what wars each regiment has served with him. He stops before his oldest soldiers. To one of them he recalls with a word, and with a familiar caress, the battle of the Pyramids, to another Marengo, Austerlitz, Iéna or Friedland, and the veteran who believes that he has been recognised by his Emperor swells out with glory in the midst of his younger comrades, who envy him.

"Napoleon goes on: he does not neglect the youngest. They seem to have a special interest for him. He knows their slightest needs. He interrogates them. Do their captains look after them? Have their wages been paid? Do they lack any part of their equipment? He wishes to inspect their haversacks.

"At last he stops in the centre of the regiment, and asks in a loud voice who are the most worthy. He calls up those who are pointed out, and questions them. How many years' service? What campaigns? What wounds? What distinguished actions? Then he gives them their promotion as officers, sees that they get their rank immediately, in his presence, pointing out the way in which they are to receive the honour—little details which charm a soldier!

"They tell each other that the great Emperor who judges actions *en masse* busies himself about them down to the smallest detail, that they are his oldest, his real family. It is in this way that he makes them love war, glory, and himself."

Napoleon always believed that his gospel of the open way to merit was the greatest call to the spirit of democracy, that it was the doctrine of hope which would raise all people to a higher level, as it raised the individual above his lower nature and made heroes out of peasants. He could not understand that any nation on earth, enslaved by the old traditions of caste and class, could turn deaf ears to such a promise of liberty. In his dreams of the invasion of England, which he always contended were baffled by the elements rather than by the strength of the British Navy and which if nature had been on his side he could have dodged on a dark night—he relied upon this ideal of the divine right of merit to win over the English people to his side.

"Had I succeeded in effecting a landing," he said, "I have very little doubt that I should have accomplished my views . . . four days would have brought me to London. In a country like England, abounding in plains, defence is very difficult. I have no doubt that your troops would have done their duty but, one battle lost, the capital would have been in my power."

Then he would have appealed to the spirit of democracy which the French Revolution had stirred even in the hearts of the English people.

"Your principal people have too much to lose by resistance, and your *canaille* too much to gain by a change. If, indeed, they supposed that I wanted to render England a province of France, then truly the national spirit would do wonders, but I would have formed a republic according to your own wishes, required a moderate contribution barely sufficient to have paid the troops, and perhaps not even that. Your *canaille** would have been for me knowing that I am a man of the people, and that I myself descend from the *canaille,* and that whenever a man had merit or

* Napoleon used this word not in a degrading sense, but to mean the people apart from the nobles.

NAPOLEON

From a Miniature in the possession of
P. Berney Ficklin, Esq., F.S.A.,
of Tasburgh Hall, near Norwich

talent I elevated him without asking how many degrees of nobility he had; knowing that by joining me, they would be relieved from the yoke of the aristocracy under which they labour.

"There is not a *canaille* in the world, not even the Prussians, worse treated. . . . You talk of your freedom, can anything be more horrible than your pressing of seamen? You send your boats on shore to seize upon every male that can be found, who, if they have the misfortune to belong to the *canaille*, if they cannot prove themselves *gentlemen*,* are hurried on board your ships to serve as seamen in all quarters of the globe. And yet you have the impudence to talk of the conscription in France! It wounds your pride because it falls *upon all ranks.* Oh, how shocking that a *gentleman's* son should be obliged to defend his country, just as if he were one of the *canaille!* And that he should be compelled to expose his body, or put himself on a level with a *vile plebeian!* Yet God made all men alike. Who forms the nation? Not your lords, nor your fat prelates and churchmen, nor your *gentlemen*, nor your oligarchy. Oh! one day the people will revenge themselves, and terrible scenes will take place."

It must not be forgotten that although Napoleon ignored the might of the British Navy and the proud spirit of the nation who at the first warning of invasion raised volunteer corps all over the country—we may smile now at the rustics in arms and the military absurdities of those self-raised regiments, but at least they showed the pride and pluck of the people—he was right in his scorn of our class distinctions and in his pity for the down-trodden masses. While the press-gangs searched every port for able-bodied men we could not honestly condemn the French conscription; while the horrible penal laws of England survived, as they did until after Napoleon's death, we could not boast of our liberty. As late as 1829 men and women and young children were

* He spoke this word in English.

condemned to death or sentenced to penal servitude for life for stealing potatoes or half-a-yard of calico, or a loaf of bread. In 1811, 6,400 people were condemned to death. The treatment of the English soldiers and sailors was frightfully brutal, and Napoleon was far in advance of our own sentiments of humanity at that time when he denounced the wholesale flogging of men who served their country in war.

"I raised many thousands of Italians," he once said, "who fought with a courage equal to that of the French, and who did not desert me in danger. What was the cause? I abolished flogging. Instead of the lash I introduced the stimulus of honour. Whatever debases a man cannot be serviceable. What sense of honour can a man have who is flogged before his comrades? When a soldier has been debased by stripes he cares little for his own reputation or the honour of his country. After an action I assembled the officers and soldiers, and inquired who had proved themselves heroes. Such as were able to read and write I promoted. Those who were not I ordered to study five hours a day until they learned a sufficiency, and then I promoted them. Thus I substituted honour and emulation for terror and the lash."

Napoleon always rendered homage to merit, and the real magnanimity of the man's soul was proved by the way in which he generously promoted men of talent even when they had been his enemies, even, indeed, when he discovered them to be guilty of actual treachery towards him. So high was his appreciation of ability that he could seldom bring himself to degrade a man who, in his opinion, was efficient for his post, though he might have every other reason to punish him. There are a thousand instances of this.

Upon coming to power Napoleon's first efforts were to destroy the effects of the terror by opening the gates of France to all

those Royalists and Moderates who had been banished from their native soil.

"Napoleon had but one idea," says Azais in his *Jugement Impartial sur Napoléon*, "which was to gather round him, and entrust with important posts, the most remarkable among the men who had not feared to combat his projects and oppose his elevation. The man who dreaded his vengeance found himself summoned to become his supporter."

Bernadotte, who had opposed Napoleon's *coup d'état* on the 18th Brumaire, who afterwards, as the First Consul was fully aware, became the leader of a clique whose object was to drag down the general of the army of Italy, and who "passed his life in quarrels with the First Consulate, and in seeking to make them up" was rewarded with infinite generosity by a man more magnanimous than himself. Napoleon made him Marshal of France and Grand Officer of the Empire, and did not oppose his election as Crown Prince of Sweden. Time and time again the Emperor pardoned Bernadotte's insolence, insubordination and intriguing. He also paid his debts and granted him a pension.

Masséna, one of Napoleon's most famous generals, embarrassed his master by his ceaseless rapacity. It was the worst fault in the eyes of Napoleon who was always scrupulous in money matters, having the instinct of the bourgeois for small economies.

"Masséna," he wrote, "is good for nothing in a civil government. In the first place he is incapable of attachment. He is a good soldier, but entirely given up to love of money; it is the only motive power which makes him act even under my eyes. He began by small sums; now millions would not satisfy him."

Yet, because of his undeniable bravery and brilliant generalship, the Emperor gave him the Duchy of Rivoli and the principality of Essling.

It must be admitted that Napoleon had much to suffer from the insubordination of his generals and from their continual thwarting of his plans. Yet he was long-suffering and extraordinarily forgiving.

General St. Cyr left his post at the front before he was superseded by Augereau. It was one of the worst crimes a soldier could commit. Napoleon wrote to General Belliard:

"I am indignant at hearing that General Gouvion St. Cyr has abandoned his troops. If he has quitted his army without authorization and without having made over his command to a Marshal you will give orders for his arrest. Spare him this disgrace, however, if you can, and make him understand how extraordinary his conduct has been."

When Soult was in Portugal he was so busily engaged intriguing for the Crown, which he hoped would rest upon his own head, that he failed to carry out his duty as a soldier.

Napoleon when he learnt the news was seized with a passion of anger.

"Had you," he wrote, "taken possession of the supreme power for yourself, it would have been a crime which would have obliged me, notwithstanding my attachment to you, to regard you as guilty of high treason and of having attempted to subvert my authority. I have seen with grief that you have allowed yourself to be surprised at Oporto, and that my army, without a blow, has fled almost without baggage or artillery."

No punishment, we might well think, could be too severe for such an offence, and if Napoleon had been that cruel ruthless man so generally pictured, he would have crushed his guilty officer under the blow of an iron hand. This, however, is in conclusion to the letter:

"Nevertheless, after having considered the steps I should take, I have been swayed by my affection for you and the services you have rendered me at Austerlitz and elsewhere. I forget the past.

F. GÉRARD, PINX. 1805.

AUGUSTE BOUCHER DESNOYERS, SCULP.

I hope it will serve as a warning to you, and I confide to you the post of Major-General of my Army of Spain."

These instances of Napoleon's largeness of soul—his utter lack of pettiness which would prompt a meaner man to strike down an offender, or of a ruthlessness which would cause a man to forget all past service when punishing a great fault—might be multiplied indefinitely. In every one of his battles there were officers who, either by stupidity or laziness or arrogance, defeated some movement planned by their great general; throughout his whole career Napoleon was constantly incensed by the behaviour of men who, though heroes, were also in private life very much like unruly and insolent schoolboys. Yet though he sometimes stormed and raved at them, quick to lose his temper, and when angry losing control of his speech which stabbed them with fierce words, he seldom, if ever, carried out his worse threats. His wrath quickly evaporated, and he would make generous amends to the men he had bullied, as though he, and not they, were at fault.

Nor was his generous forgiveness of faults restricted to superior officers. It may be thought that he was astute enough to realise that he owed a great part of his power to the military or civil genius of the men he had gathered round him, and that he was afraid to lose their allegiance by too much severity. That is far from the truth. Napoleon, strict disciplinarian as he was, was never a tyrant or a martinet to the troops under his command. He could be as patient and generous to a junior officer or to a common soldier as to a field marshal of the Empire. There are many letters in his collected correspondence which reveal his quite fatherly affection for the men he called his "children," and his sincere dislike to degrade even the humblest among them in the eyes of his comrades by too severely punishing a fault.

Writing to the superior officer of a soldier who had been dismissed from his regiment for bad behaviour, he said: "I have

received your report of the 11th, relative to Gautier of the 16th Light Infantry. I do not doubt he will keep the promise he has made you. Restore him to his regiment, in which I hope he will soon earn promotion. Write in this sense to the Colonel."

To another officer he sent the following instructions:—

"Write to Corporal Bernandot of the 13th Regiment of the line, that he is not to drink any more and behave better. It seems that the cross was given him because he is a brave man. But it must not be taken from him because he is too fond of wine. Make him realize, however, that he is wrong in putting himself into such a condition as to disgrace the decoration he wears."

While at Eylau an orderly officer, riding with despatches to the Emperor was a long time on the road. Napoleon sent for him.

"Sir," he said, severely, as the officer entered, "at what hour were those despatches placed in your hands?"

"At eight o'clock in the evening, sire."

"And how many leagues had you to ride?"

"I do not know precisely, sire."

"But you ought to know—an orderly officer ought to know that. I know—You had twenty-seven miles to ride, and you set off at eight o'clock. Look at your watch, sir. What time is it now?"

"Half-past twelve, sire. The roads were in a terrible state. In some places the snow blocked up the path—."

"Poor excuses, sir, poor excuses. Retire, and await my orders." As the officer closed the door he exclaimed:

"This cool, leisurely gentleman wants stimulating."

When the answer was ready the officer was recalled.

"Set off immediately, sir," said Napoleon, "these despatches must be delivered with the utmost speed. General Lasalle must receive my orders at three o'clock, you understand, sir?"

"Sire" was the reply, "at half-past two General Lasalle shall have the orders of which I have the honour to be the bearer."

As he was leaving the room Napoleon called to him and said in his kindest and most winning voice, "Tell General Lasalle that it will be agreeable to me that you should be the person selected to announce to me the success of these movements."

It may be seen by these few anecdotes, selected out of many hundreds of a similar character, that Napoleon had a complete sincerity in his creed of promotion by merit. And it must not be forgotten that it was a most revolutionary creed to the age in which he lived and ruled. It was destructive, far more than mob-passion, of the *ancien régime.* The French mob did not secure equality by overthrowing a dynasty and butchering aristocrats by the guillotine. They set up new tyrants and became still more enslaved. But Napoleon showed the way to a wide practical liberty, to a liberty which would raise men instead of debasing them. By appealing to the instincts for honour and glory among men, he created a spirit of noble rivalry in which the best would gain the prizes of life.

By promoting men out of the ranks in proportion to their merit, he did not defy the fundamental law of all societies and civilisations by destroying the various gradations of rank, but he made those distinctions of class more natural and more admirable. Revolutionary then, such a doctrine would be revolutionary now in England and other countries governed by tradition, though a hundred years have passed since Napoleon put his principles into practice. In our civil service there is no promotion by merit. Seniority, social influence, the wholly artificial value of academic distinctions, are the rules which govern Whitehall. A third class clerk, however efficient and brilliant, will never be metamorphosed into a first class clerk. In the army the promotions from the ranks are so few that they are the exceptions to prove the rule of class privilege. Wealth and high birth secure high commands, not so often as in the days of Napoleon, but still too often. It can hardly

be boasted in the British army that "*la carrière est ouverte aux talents,*" and that every private soldier carries in his knapsack the bâton of a field marshal.

If we look into Napoleon's soul we shall see that its grandeur was largely due to its simplicity. Not born in the purple he always kept the essential qualities of the *bourgeoisie.* Although he knew the value of pomp and pageantry as a means of impressing the imagination of the masses; although he knew that a crown and imperial robes, and titles and decorations, were necessary to an Emperor who ruled over many peoples and who was the headpiece of a great political system, his soul was always simple, and unseduced by these trappings. With the imperial crown on his head he was still a man of the middle class, a *bourgeois.* When he came back from Notre Dame after his coronation he bundled off his robes and protested that he had never been so bored in his life. Years afterwards as an exile in St. Helena he wrote to Coulaincourt: "The lot of a dethroned king who has been born a king and nothing more must be dreadful. The pomp of the throne, the gew-gaws which surround him from his cradle, and which accompany him step by step through life, become a necessary condition of his existence. For me, always a soldier, and a sovereign by chance, the luxuries of royalty proved a heavy charge. The toils of war and a rough camp life are best suited to my organisation, my habits, and my taste. Of all my past grandeurs I alone regret my soldiers; and of all the jewels of my crown, the French uniforms which they allowed me to take with me are the most precious I have preserved."

He was always proud of having sprung from the *canaille.* As an Emperor he loved to remember his days as a poor lieutenant of Artillery when with Bourrienne, his old school-chum and afterwards his comrade in arms on many a battlefield, he used to lunch at the Trois Bornes in the Rue de Valois, indulging in the dreams of

Appiani Pinx.t

P. M. Alix. Sculp.t

Napoléon Bonaparte 1er Consul.

An 11 - 1803.

youth over a bottle of thin wine. Sometimes in after years when his officers used to complain of poverty, he would remind them of the time when he lunched for six sous, at Justat's in the Rue des Petits-Pères. Those early days in Paris, when his highest ambition was to live in a little house and drive in a cabriolet, remained as a sweet memory with the man in all his greatness.

The little economies of bourgeois life became his training in state finance. The squandering of money was always distasteful to him. As Emperor he kept his accounts with the scrupulousness of the small householder who has to make both ends meet. He hated, like every good bourgeois, to be cheated by his tradespeople, and to the last kept a sharp eye on their bills. Like a bourgeois again he quarrelled with his wife over her dress allowance. Many were the tears Josephine shed when the Emperor scolded her for not keeping accounts and getting into debt.

The friendships he made in the early days of his life when he was a poor and obscure young man were never forgotten. He sought out his old schoolmaster and military instructors, and gave them pensions and places. Officers who had befriended him when he was desperately in need of friendship became generals of division, and heads of military departments. As all the world knows, his family who had shared his pay when a junior officer, shared his glory when he was the great Emperor. And they were not by any means grateful to him. For Louis Bonaparte specially he had made many sacrifices as a young man, and twenty years later, after Louis as King of Holland had shown the rankest ingratitude to the brother who had lifted him up, and had forgotten even his loyalty to France, Napoleon wrote bitterly to Coulaincourt:

"That Louis whom I educated out of my pay as sub-lieutenant, God knows at the price of what privations! Do you know how I managed it? It was by never setting foot in society or in a café;

by eating dry bread, and by brushing my clothes myself so that they should last longer."

Having lived among the middle-class; having flirted with young women of the people, and clinked glasses with comrades in poor taverns; having known poverty and thwarted ambition himself, and all the little pleasures and tragedies of bourgeois life, Napoleon, at the height of his power, was a man of the people. He was proud to call himself "the King of the Third Estate."

"On my return from a campaign," he said to O'Meara one day, "while my carriage was ascending the steep hill of Tarare I got out and walked up without my attendants as was often my custom; my wife and my suite were at a little distance behind me; I saw an old woman, lame and hobbling along with the help of a crutch, endeavouring to ascend the mountain. I had a greatcoat on and was not recognised—I went up to her and said, 'Well, *ma bonne,* where are you going with a haste which so little belongs to your years? What is the matter?' '*Ma foi,*' replied the old dame, 'they tell me the Emperor is here, and I want to see him before I die.'"

"'Bah, bah,' said I, 'what do you want to see him for. What have you gained by him? He is a tyrant as well as the others. You have only changed one tyrant for another?' 'Nay, *monsieur,* that may be, but after all he is the King of the people, and the Bourbons were the Kings of the nobles. We have chosen *him*, and if we are to have a tyrant, let him be one chosen by ourselves.'"

"There," said Napoleon, "you have the sentiments of the French nation expressed by an old woman."

Love is the touchstone of a man's character. It is in the passion of love that a man's soul is most nakedly revealed. It was so in the case of Napoleon. In many acts of his life we may argue that he was a *poseur*; that he was generous because generosity was the

best diplomacy; that he was *bon camarade* with his soldiers because he played upon human nature with consummate skill for his own ends. These things have been said, and we may agree or not agree. But in his love letters to Josephine the soul of the young Napoleon is laid bare. There is no doubting the sincerity of these letters, for they scorch one with the fire of their passion, and they were written hastily, on the battlefield; after great victories; in the tumult of great campaigns; and in cities just entered by his triumphant legions.

Napoleon had hardly given a thought to women before he met Josephine Beauharnais, the beautiful young widow, and the mother of two children. He had flirted a little, with the brusque gallantry of a soldier more concerned with military science than with the art of amorous dalliance; with one girl, Eugénie-Désirée Clary, a soap boiler's daughter, he had been first stirred by something like passion, though it was nothing but a harmless "calf-love." He asked for her hand in marriage and she refused him, little guessing that she was giving up the diadem of an Empress. There was also a certain Mademoiselle de Colombier with whom he was *épris*. Speaking of that, he said afterwards, "We were the most innocent creatures imaginable. We contrived short interviews together. I well remember one which took place on a mid-summer's morning just as the light began to dawn. It will hardly be believed that all our happiness consisted in eating cherries together."

When he met Josephine he had a virginal heart. And at the first sight of this beautiful young widow he was inflamed with a great passion. She held him aloof for a time, hardly thinking him a good enough match, though he was already a general and appointed to command the army in Italy. But his wooing was ardent, and she was induced to consent. Like other lovers who have not become Emperors he believed himself "the happiest of men." At the very period of his life when he was about to dazzle the world

with his greatest exploits of modern warfare, he had the simplicity of a lover who humbles himself before a frail woman, believing her spotless. And when he marched into Italy like a new Hannibal issuing those amazing proclamations to his troops, when he held out to them the vision of great glory and of great plunder in words that still stir the pulse of all Frenchmen by their grandiloquent eloquence, he stole away to his tent or his cabinet to write letters to Josephine his wife in other words as simple as passion itself. He laid himself at her feet—at the feet of this woman who was enjoying herself in a round of light gaieties—he expressed his ardent longing to hold her in his arms, to kiss her a thousand times with burning kisses.

She did not trouble to answer his letters and he was tortured.

"My life is a perpetual nightmare," he wrote. "A horrible presentiment prevents me from breathing. I live no more. I have lost more than life, more than happiness, more than rest. I am almost without hope. I send you a courier. He will remain only four hours in Paris, and will bring your answer."

And again:

"My presentiments are so gloomy that I should be satisfied if I could see you, press you to my heart, and die."

So he goes on like any *bourgeois* lover inspired by the poetry of passion—very unlike the "cold," "ruthless," "heartless" man who frightened English children in their beds, and English gentlemen at their firesides, under the name of "the Corsican Ogre."

"My darling, mind you tell me that you are convinced I love you more than it is possible to imagine; that you are persuaded that every moment of my time is consecrated to you; that never an hour passes without my thinking of you; that the idea of another woman has never occurred to me; that in my eyes they are all

BONAPARTE 1.er Consul.

without charm, beauty or wit; that you and you alone, such as I see you now, can please me, and absorb all the faculties of my soul; that you have sounded all its depths; that it has no dark corners hidden from you, no thoughts not subject to you; that my strength, my arms, my mind—all are yours; that my soul is in your body; that the day when you change, or the day on which you cease to live would be that of my death; that nature and the earth are only beautiful in my eyes because you inhabit them."

In all these letters, so hot with passion that we cannot read them without a kind of shame that they should be read by all the world, letters in which Napoleon's southern blood was revealed, there is hardly a word about his marvellous achievements, not a single boast of victory. They might have been the letters of a commercial traveller who had gone to Italy to sell ribbons, instead of a young conqueror who was changing the map of Europe.

At Milan Napoleon sent courier after courier with letters imploring Josephine to come to him, letters in which at last he began to express terrible doubts of her fidelity. She came reluctantly, and Napoleon was in the seventh heaven of joy. But Josephine was not the saintly, injured creature, who, in such melodramas as "A Royal Divorce" brings tears to the eyes of the gallery boys.

In those days, at least, she thought only of her own pleasure. When Napoleon was away from her side she flirted—not perhaps harmfully, but with too light regard for her husband's honour—with the young officers left in the garrison. The young general could not stay long with her; he had to march with his troops to Verona and Rome; and again she did not hasten to answer his endearing letters, and when she answered it was in a style that chilled him.

"Your letters," he wrote "are as cold as if you were fifty; they might have been written after fifteen years of married life!"

When he was on his way back to Milan he sent her a message in advance.

"I hope that ere long I shall seize you in my arms and cover you with a million burning kisses—burning as though they came from the equator."

Alas, when Napoleon reached Milan Josephine was not there to meet her conquering hero! She had gone off to Genoa on some adventure of amusement. It was the first shock that dulled the man's throbbing heart. He walked gloomily through the empty palace, and then wrote a letter to his absent wife in which he reproached her for this "incalculable misery."

Not long afterwards unpleasant rumours reached him about Josephine's friendship with a certain handsome young coxcomb named Hippolyte Charles. We need not go into that scandal. What is the truth of it can hardly be discovered now. But gradually, as the first year or two of their married life passed, Napoleon became disillusioned. He knew his wife to be a light, frivolous woman, frightfully extravagant in spite of all his protests, and too careless of his name. In Egypt, under the great vault of heaven above the desert, riding up and down before his tent in the translucent darkness of the Eastern nights, he unbosomed himself to his young step-son Eugène, and the son had to make excuses for his mother. It was a pitiful tragedy, and we get closer to the humanity of Napoleon in this trouble of his married life than we can in other phases of his extraordinary career when the man is rather obscured by the greatness of his power.

But like any middle-class husband he decided to make the best of things. He withdrew from the divorce he had at first contemplated, and although the glamour of idolatry had gone, he settled down "*en famille*" with Josephine, habit taking the place of passion.

There is no doubt indeed that after the first shock of disillusionment he had a genuine affection for Josephine, in spite of their

frequent quarrels over the Empress's wild extravagance. To her children, too, Eugène and Hortense, he was entirely devoted and was their "*cher papa.*" It was one of the pleasantest traits in Napoleon's character that he was always fond of children and young people. Often on his campaigns he would forget for a while the great world-struggle in which he was involved to tease or play with some little peasant girl. Childhood touched the most tender chords of his heart, and showed the real simplicity of his nature, to which grandeur and power were the accidents of fate. It is difficult, however, to forgive his divorce of Josephine, even when we know the true facts stripped from the sentimentalism which has been indulged in by popular writers. He was induced to believe that the continuance of his Empire depended on the founding of a new hereditary dynasty. He believed in the theory, so continually disproved, that a great father has a great son, and he dreamed of a younger Napoleon maintaining the glory of the Empire and continuing his traditions. He put the thought from him as a devilish temptation. But it was thrust upon him continually by natural impulses, by intimate advisers, and by the pressure of circumstances. Then he broached the subject to Josephine as gently as he could. He was wonderfully delicate in his brutality! She, poor woman, who in her early days of their married life had neglected his love, was now jealous of his affection. When she had awakened to his indifference to her she had suddenly become eager to bind him fast, and after her first flightiness, and in spite of her recklessness with money, she was now a good wife to him. He respected her advice, and took a great pleasure in her company. The proposal for a divorce therefore stabbed her to the heart. It was not a death-blow to her love, for we cannot believe that she was ever inspired with a romantic passion for her great husband. There is no sign of it in any of her letters. But it was the death warrant of her pride and

position. She would be debased before the whole world. There were unhappy scenes which distressed Napoleon as much as they wounded Josephine. There was one terrible scene when finally he showed his determination to carry through the ugly business "for the sake of his destiny," when, as he said, he was impelled to this cruelty by a power which over-ruled his private affections. Josephine went into hysterics and fainted, and Napoleon, with the face of a corpse, summoned an intimate friend to carry her to her own apartments.

After that final outburst Josephine consented to her own fate and signed the document agreeing to the divorce.

Napoleon was no Bluebeard, as Henry VIII., who would bludgeon a woman's heart or order a wife to the headsman's block when he wanted to gratify his lowest passions. He treated Josephine with magnificent generosity from a financial point of view, and she was to retain the title of Empress. And after the divorce, after even his marriage with Marie Louise which allied him to the Blood Royal of Austria, all his old tenderness for Josephine came back. He visited her frequently, and remained for hours alone in her company. Extraordinary situation! Extraordinary man!

He was not less affectionate to Marie Louise, his new wife. She had not to complain of any coldness in him. He devoted himself to her comfort, and when she gave him the heir he desired his gratitude was simple and profound. In after years when he was exiled to St. Helena and Marie Louise was one of the first to abandon him, and to betray her marriage vows, he could never bring himself to believe in her treachery. He believed to the last, or professed to believe, that she was prevented from sharing his exile by his enemies, and that she was forbidden even to write to him.

There is something very pitiful in the Emperor's conversation about these two women with the few friends of his exile, when this

HILIARE LE DOU, PINX. NAPOLEON. COQUERE, SCULP.

great restless soul had no other outlet for his spirit than the memories of his former greatness and amazing life.

For Josephine he always had words of tenderness and admiration:

"She was really an amiable woman, elegant, charming, and affable. *Era la dama la più graziosa di Francia.* She was the goddess of the toilet, all the fashions originated with her; everything she put on appeared elegant; and she was so kind, so humane—she was the best woman in France."

He also had a high opinion of the intellect and character of Marie Louise, and was satisfied of her devotion to him.

With regard to Napoleon's relations with other women, it must be admitted that he was not without stain. When Josephine first disappointed him it seems that he permitted himself two or three passing intrigues. Scandal has given special notoriety to the name of Madame Walewska, who is supposed to have borne him a son. But, on the whole, Napoleon was not a loose-living man. His Court was not openly profligate like that of the Bourbons, and if he erred once or twice he did not live in open shame like most of his predecessors on the throne of France. His family life, indeed, was very much like that of any respectable shopkeeper in the rue St. Honoré, and when one remembers that on his triumphant marches through Europe he was surrounded by constant temptation, and by the beautiful women of Courts not renowned for virtue, one may marvel at the real austerity of the man. He himself alluded to his strictness of morals when a young man, which contrasted with the general license of his age.

"My extreme youth when I took command of the army of Italy," he remarked, "made it necessary for me to evince great reserve of manners and the utmost severity of morals. This was indispensable to enable me to sustain authority over men so greatly superior in age and experience. I pursued a line of conduct in the highest degree irreproachable and exemplary. In spotless

morality I was a Cato, and must have appeared so to all. I was a philosopher and a sage. My supremacy could be retained only by proving myself a better man than any other in the army. Had I yielded to human weaknesses I should have lost my power."

There is no doubt that the fear of losing his power was always a haunting thought of Napoleon, not only as Emperor, but as General of the Army of Italy, and in the earliest days of his command. It was the heritage of the Revolution out of the smouldering ashes of which he rose like the phœnix. Under the rule of Robespierre no man could believe himself secure. The Republican Generals were spied upon by agents of the Directory, who, in most cases civilians without the slightest knowledge of military science, had the power of criticism and confidential reporting to the Government. They had but to breathe the word 'treason' and a commanding officer was recalled from the front to take his stand before a tribunal obsessed with the thought of treachery.

As Commandant of Artillery at Toulon, where his bravery and skill first attracted the attention of his superior officers, Napoleon was the victim of this espionage. Afterwards, in spite of his distinguished service, he almost starved before he could get official recognition and a new command. It was owing to the interest of Barras, or rather to the mistress of Barras, the notorious Madame Tallien, that he obtained his first great chance when he was asked to command the troops of the Convention against the Royalist sections who were then seeking to overthrow the Government. He was given three minutes to decide, and, as all the world knows, he accepted the appointment and secured victory for the Convention. It was then that his name first emerged from obscurity. He was promoted to be General of Division and shortly afterwards General-in-Chief of the Army of the Interior. But he knew that by his victory and his rapid rise

he had surrounded himself with enemies. Among the very men whom he had rescued from Royalist reaction there were many who were suspicious of him and who hated him for his success.

Bernadotte, whose reputation at that time stood much higher than that of his rival in popular favour, was already intriguing against him, and was still more eager to drag him down when he was appointed over Bernadotte's head to the supreme command of the Army of Italy. During that brilliant campaign in Italy, when he gave a new glory to the name of France, General Clarke was sent by the Directory to spy upon him. Curiously enough it was Clarke who fell into disgrace, and it is another proof of Napoleon's generosity that although he knew the man's mission he wrote a letter to the Directory bearing witness in favour of General Clarke's good conduct, and to the Minister for Foreign Affairs begging him to use his influence with the Government on behalf of a man who wished to betray him.

"I am told that he has written a great deal of harm of me. If that be true, he wrote to the Government and had the right to do so; it might even have been necessary, and in no case do I think it can be made a reason for proscribing him."

During the campaigns in Italy and Egypt there were formidable plots in Paris to overthrow the power of Napoleon. He could not even trust his own Generals. Davoust was one who tried to betray him, and afterwards as Emperor, men like Murat, Junot and Ney, inflamed to selfish ambition by the all-conquering greatness of the man who had promoted them to high commands, tempted to become independent kings over the nations they had helped to subdue, gave Napoleon increasing need of vigilance. There was never a day when he could give a perfectly free mind to his vast military schemes. He was always threatened by political enemies at home, and by the audacious ambitions of his comrades-in-arms. As First Consul he knew that a temporary

check to his victorious progress might at any time cause his overthrow. As Emperor he never had the faithful co-operation of the brothers and comrades he had placed as vassals on the thrones of Europe. It was this insecurity of his position which partly caused his insatiable desire for new conquests. He must follow one success by another. He must always go on. He could not afford to stand still. The whole structure of his Empire depended upon his own genius, upon personal prestige. When once his prestige should disappear all was lost: for this reason he was impelled always to seek new renown; to lead his legions towards new triumphs; to invade the East; to hazard that final enterprise which led to Moscow and to ruin.

Although this compelling force of circumstance created by the conditions of his own personal supremacy cannot be ignored as one of the secret influences in the soul of Napoleon, it would be absurd to pretend that the fear of losing power was the first cause of his prodigious adventures. Like the youngest recruit in his army he was carried on by a thirst for glory, by an enthusiasm which exalted his soul. It is vain to think that in his early days with the army of Italy he had any far-reaching calculations of political power. At that time he had no fore-knowledge of his destiny. He was inspired simply with a soldier's ideal to carry his country's flag triumphantly across the battlefields of a hostile territory. He was as much astonished at his victories as the people who read his despatches with increasing wonder.

Speaking of this campaign when it was only a memory he once said, "Methinks the moment is only just gone by when I took command of the army which conquered Italy. . . . I felt the consciousness of my powers, and burned to enter the lists. I had already given proof of what I could do. My aptitude was not contested, but my youth displeased those old soldiers who had grown gray on the field of battle. Perceiving this I felt the

Napoléon Ier Empereur des Français & Roy d'Italie.

Garnerey Pinx.t

1805. An 13

A.B. Morret Sculp.t

necessity for compensating the disadvantage by the austerity of principles from which I never departed. Brilliant actions were required to conciliate the confidence and affection of the military, and I performed some. We marched, and everything vanished at our approach. My name was soon as dear to the people as to the soldiers. I could not be insensible to this unanimity of homage, and become indifferent to everything short of glory.

"The air resounded with acclamations on my passage. Everything was at my disposal. But I only thought of my brave soldiers and of France."

It was during one of his most heroic feats in Italy that Napoleon first began to realise that he was marked out for some great destiny.

"Neither the quelling of the sections" he said, "nor the victory of Montenotte induced me to think myself a superior character. It was not till after the terrible passage of the bridge of Lodi that the idea entered my mind that I might become a decisive actor in the political arena."

To use a colloquial expression, he jumped with both feet into the political arena when he hurried away from Egypt in the middle of the campaign, leaving his command in the hands of Kléber, and arriving suddenly in Paris, achieved his famous *coup d'état* of the 18th Brumaire by dissolving the existing government and taking over the reins of power with the title of First Consul.

It was then that he first revealed his genius as an administrator, and astonished his counsellors—men who had learnt the science of Government by terror and butchery through all the phases of the Revolution—by his amazing knowledge of civil affairs, by his extraordinary power of organisation, and by his broad ideas of liberty and justice. Siéyès and Ducos, associated with him as Consuls, had believed at first that this young soldier who had the gift of gaining victories against all odds would be a tool in their

hands. They expected him to place himself at the head of the armies in the field and leave them supreme in the administration. But he quickly undeceived them. "I am Chief Consul," he said quietly, "I will remain in Paris." Then he set about the task of forming a strong government under his authority. He had one watchword "efficiency," and with this he annihilated all political differences and all the smouldering passions of a Revolution which had made France a hotbed of plotting hatred and fierce jealousy. Royalists or Republicans, austere or profligate, his former enemies or his avowed friends, he put them only to one test. Were they men who would strengthen the new government of France by their ability and zeal? It is generally acknowledged that the men who formed his ministry were, in spite of their mutual suspicion and former enmity, unquestionably fitted in the highest degree for the particular offices assigned to them. One party objected energetically to the appointment of Talleyrand who was unrivalled for shiftiness in political crises. "It may be," said the First Consul, "but he is the ablest minister for foreign affairs in our choice, and it shall be my care that he exerts his abilities." Others objected to Carnot as a red-hot republican. "Republican or not," said Napoleon, "he is one of the last Frenchmen who would wish to see France dismembered. Let us avail ourselves of his unrivalled talents in the war department while he is willing to place them at our command."

Fouché was universally condemned as a man too deeply stained by the worst crimes of the Reign of Terror, and as a man whose very nature urged him to intrigue. "Fouché," said Napoleon, "and Fouché alone, is able to conduct the ministry of police; he alone has a perfect knowledge of all the factions and intrigues which have been spreading misery through France. We cannot create men; we must take such as we can find; and it is easier to modify by circumstances the feelings and conduct of an able servant than to supply his place."

By this policy the First Consul not only secured men able to carry out his great conceptions, men of the highest efficiency, but he succeeded in stifling, for a time at least, the internal feuds which had torn at the heart of France since the downfall of the Bourbon dynasty. By throwing open the doors of success and power to the men with the greatest talent, from whatever class they might spring, he gave a practical meaning to those great words "liberty, equality and fraternity," which had been too much abused by those who had proclaimed them most loudly. In his supreme position Napoleon raised democracy and secured the liberty of the individual by a benevolent despotism. He was a dictator, but his laws made every Frenchman equal before the National Tribunals, and a partner in the glory of his country.

Where did this man get his vast knowledge of civil government, a knowledge which enabled him to take the broadest views as well as to consider with keen judgment the smallest and most technical details of administration? There is only one answer. Out of his own soul and experience. He did not regard the problems of life *de haut en bas,* like kings born in the purple. He looked at them from the point of view of the middle-class citizens among whom he had been brought up. It was the aspirations and the ideals of the middle-class which he endeavoured to fulfil in his code of laws. The experience of his own career was his training in the government of a nation. His poverty, his ambitions, his desires, his simple arithmetic and domestic economy in the old days, were the lessons which taught him to control the treasury of France and to secure the well-being of his people.

Above all, however, he had imagination, that greatest gift of man. Napoleon is not to be understood unless one acknowledges that above this intensely practical nature, above the common-sense of the *bourgeois*, he had an idealism and a poetry which animated him with a high enthusiasm. This imagination was one of the secrets

of his mastery over men. While appealing to their natural and commonplace ambitions, the right to a living wage, the reward of industry and courage, the old barbaric right which extorted tribute from a conquered people, he called also to their spirituality. The proclamations to his troops are wonderful examples of his own dual nature. They were the words of a soldier of fortune who urges his men onwards in hope of great booty. But they were also inspired by something higher than this, by passion for glory which should be gained even by death, by an ideal of universal liberty which should liberate even those who surrendered to his sword. Often indeed he called out the spirit of his troops by words which seem above the intelligence of men who had come straight from the plough or the forge.

Before his departure for Egypt he made the following proclamation:

"Soldiers! you have made war in mountains, plains and cities. It remains to make it on the ocean. The Roman legions whom you have often imitated but not yet equalled, combated Carthage by turns on the seas and on the plains of Zama. Victory never deserted their standards because they never ceased to be brave, patient and united. Soldiers! the eyes of Europe are upon you. You have great destinies to accomplish, battles to fight, dangers and fatigues to overcome. You are about to do more than you yet have done for the prosperity of your country, the happiness of men, and for your own glory."

When he approached Cairo, the city of ancient civilisations, with the great pyramids beyond, Napoleon halted his armies, and declared, "Soldiers! from these summits forty centuries contemplate your actions."

In the great desert the poetry of the man was stirred by the brooding silence, by the vast solitude around him. There were times when he forgot ambition, the intrigues of his enemies, and

his great schemes of Empire, while the still small voice spoke to his soul.

"I never passed the desert," he said later, "without experiencing very painful ambitions. It was the image of immensity to my thoughts. It showed no limits. It had neither beginning nor end. It was an ocean for the foot of time."

In his civil administration he was inspired by an idealism and imagination which exalted his schemes above all the slow-moving processes of social reform. As regards education, for instance, he anticipated the dreams of the nineteenth century reformers, not all of which are yet realised in England.

"One of my grand objects," he said, at St. Helena, "was to render education accessible to everybody. I caused every institution to be formed upon a plan which offered instruction to the public, either gratis, or at a rate so moderate as not to be beyond the means of the peasant. The museums were thrown open to the *canaille*. My *canaille* would have become the best educated in the world. All my exertions were directed to illuminate the mass of the nation instead of brutalising them by ignorance and superstition."

When we consider that it was not until 1870 that there was any system of public elementary education in England, and that our Universities are still the stronghold of caste, we must admit that Napoleon had a true spirit of liberalism far in advance of our own ideas of government in his time.

His desire for power was not altogether the insatiable craving of a selfish ambition. Ambition he had, vast and insatiable, but he had a sincere desire to found an Empire which would spread the gospel of liberty through Europe, and give not only to Frenchmen, but to Italians and Spaniards and Prussians and Austrians and Swedes and Dutch, and even Englishmen, a civil code of enlightened laws, a freedom of advancement by talent, a liberty from the

crushing influence of hereditary and social castes. It was his mission by which he justified his conquests and his political dictatorship.

We cannot believe that it was the false excuses of a man conscious of great guiltiness. To Barry O'Meara, his doctor at St. Helena, he defended himself from the charge of political criminality in remarkable words.

"While walking about the room, 'What sort of a man did you take me to be before you became my surgeon?' he said. 'What did you think of my character and what I was capable of? Give me your real opinion frankly.'

"I replied, 'I thought you to be a man whose stupendous talents were only to be equalled by your measureless ambition, and although I did not give credit to one-tenth part of the libels which I had read against you, still I believed that you would not hesitate to commit a crime when you found it to be necessary, or thought it might be useful to you.'

"'This is the answer that I expected,' replied Napoleon. 'I have risen to too great a pitch of human glory and elevation not to have excited the envy and jealousy of mankind. They will say it is true that he raised himself to the highest pinnacle of glory, *mais pour y arriver, il commit beaucoup de crimes* (but to attain it he has committed many crimes).

"'Now the fact is that I not only never committed any crimes, but I never even thought of doing so. *J'ai toujours marché avec l'opinion des grandes masses et les événements* (I have always gone with the opinion of great masses, and with events). I have always made *peu de cas* of the opinion of individuals, of that of the public a great deal; of what use then would crime have been to me? I am too much a fatalist, and have always despised mankind too much to have had recourse to crime to frustrate their attempts. *J'ai marché toujours avec l'opinion de cinq ou six millions d'hommes.*

(I have always marched with the opinion of five or six millions of men). Of what use then would crime have been to me?

"'In spite of all the libels' continued he, 'I have no fear whatever about my fame. Posterity will do me justice. The truth will be known, and the good that I have done, with the faults that I have committed, will be compared. I am not uneasy for the result. Had I succeeded I should have died with the reputation of the greatest man that ever existed. As it is, although I have failed, I shall be considered as an extraordinary man: my elevation was unparalleled, *because* unaccompanied by crime. I have fought fifty pitched battles, almost all of which I have gained. I have framed and carried into effect a code of laws that will bear my name to the most distant posterity. From nothing I raised myself to be the most powerful monarch in the world. Europe was at my feet. My ambition was great, I admit, but it was of a cold nature *(d'une nature froide)* and caused *par les évènements* (by events) and the opinion of great bodies. I have always been of opinion that the sovereignty laid in the people. In fact the imperial government was a kind of republic. Called to the head of it by the voice of the nation, my maxim was *la carrière ouverte aux talents* without distinction of birth or fortune, and this system of equality is the reason that your oligarchy hate me so much.'"

These words have the ring of sincerity. They are, indeed, the key to the riddle of his character and achievements. More modest of his own genius than his idolators and critics (or rather more true to his own genius, of which, of course, he was fully conscious) he admitted that his career was largely due to circumstance. The idea, still so firm in its hold upon the imagination of the world, that Napoleon at the beginning of his career deliberately planned out his destiny, and that he ruthlessly carved his way to the goal of a mighty ambition, regardless of human life, careless of all moral

law, trampling upon individuals who barred his way, and crushing the spirit of all nations under an iron heel—all this is a myth utterly divorced from truth. He was not a cold, calculating, far-seeing man. He grappled with a political situation as he faced a military problem, quick to see where the natural advantages were on his side, quick to realise the weaknesses of the enemy, and swift to strike a blow with full force upon the vulnerable part in his opponent's disposition. Having gained the victory he marched on triumphantly to encounter fresh forces arrayed against him, having like a prudent general made his enemy pay the price of defeat, and fresh combinations were observed with the same lightning glance, attacked with the same staggering force, and compelled to surrender to superior genius. Each success put greater power into his hands, and each accession of power led inevitably to new hostilities with other powers who had to be overthrown. His progress therefore was a kind of inevitable sequence of events which Napoleon himself could not check, except by the violation of his own genius. Behind him also were irresistible forces which swept him onwards. He was, like all great leaders who have changed the world's history, the man of his age. The men of Revolution, not Napoleon, had declared war against Europe. The spirit of democracy which had stirred the people of France with a passion for liberty which swept away the old order by blood and anarchy, yet also by a new idealism founded upon the rights of humanity, was carried further than the frontiers of France. The events that followed the downfall of the Bourbon dynasty were not caused by the struggle of one ambitious man for empire. They were the inevitable consequences of a war between the spirit of the new democracy and the spirit of the old feudalism. It happened that Napoleon was the ablest man of his time, the man whose qualities of imagination, of industry, of concentration, of personal magnetism, fitted him to be the leader of these forces. But he was only the

NAPOLEON
late
Emperor of France

agent, as it were, of those forces. He did not create them, he only organised and directed them.

Napoleon was conscious of this himself. That is what he meant when he said to O'Meara that his ambition was caused by events, and *"J'ai marché toujours avec l'opinion de cinq ou six millions d'hommes."* That is what he meant when he called himself a man of destiny.

"I am," he said, "the creature of circumstances. I do but go where events point out the way."

Again, when he identified himself with the State in words which have been denounced as the arrogance of a man blind with egotism, he was but uttering the truth that in his person and in his genius were summed up the spirit and fate of France:

"From the moment when we decided on the concentration of power which alone could save us," he said to Las Cases, "when we determined on the unity of doctrines and resources which rendered us a mighty nation, the destinies of France depended wholly upon the character, the principles and the measures of him who had been invested with the accidental dictatorship. From that moment *the State was myself* . . . . When I said that France stood more in need of me than I of her, the solid truth was declared to be mere excess of vanity. I was myself the keystone of an edifice entirely new, and raised on a slight foundation. Its stability depended on each of my battles . . . The majority blamed my ambition as the cause of these wars. But they were not my choice; *they were the results of nature and the force of events.*"

The truth is that the conception of Napoleon as a man who worked out a vast and deliberate ambition by ruthless means, and obtained a mastery over men by the cold calculation of words and actions, utterly distorts the real character of the man. It is commonly believed that he regarded men as so many pawns upon

the world's chess-board, that he did not possess, or crushed down, human feelings and affections, and trampled upon friends and foes alike in order to rise to his great height of power. It is admitted that at all times he had an extraordinary gift of winning over men and securing their personal allegiance and enthusiasm; and it is admitted, as a mystery, that even those naturally hostile to him, men like Captain Maitland for instance, whose prisoner he was on the *Bellerophon*, could not remain for any time in his company without being seduced by his personality.

This is part of the charge against him. "He was a consummate actor," say those who will not credit him with any humanity. Others speak of his "personal magnetism" as though it were some mysterious emanation which stole into the senses of those who came in contact with him. But in spite of the adulation of his soldiers, and the testimonies of hundreds of witnesses to his extraordinary charm, it is still believed by the majority that Napoleon was singularly lacking in humanity, that he was indeed one of those inhuman beings who, by stifling all the ordinary impulses of human nature, become the masters of men's fate.

This is absolutely the opposite of the truth. What was the secret of Napoleon's magic power over other men? It may be answered in a few words: he had a warm heart, a wide sympathy, and magnanimity.

It is curious how the legend of Napoleon's "cold nature" should still survive! There are so many historic anecdotes which reveal the more than ordinary sensitiveness of his soul. There is one in the memoirs of Las Cases told by Napoleon himself which shows how his emotions were stirred by things which would have been passed unnoticed by most men inured to the horrid sights of war. It was in the Italian Campaign, and he was crossing a battlefield from which the dead had not yet been carried off:

"In the beautiful moonlight," said the Emperor, "and in the profound solitude of night, a dog rushing out suddenly from beneath the clothing of a corpse flew at us, and then went back almost immediately to the body, uttering piteous cries. He licked his master's face again and again, and then rushed at us once more. It was to secure help and to seek vengeance at the same time. It may have been the mood of the moment," said the Emperor, "or the place, or the hour, or the time, or the incident itself, or something or other of the kind, but it is nevertheless true, that nothing on any of my battlefields has ever created such an impression upon me. I stopped involuntarily to contemplate the sight. That man, I said to myself, has friends, perhaps there are some now in camp, in his company, but here he lies abandoned by all of them except his dog! What a lesson nature gives us by means of an animal!"

Death had no terror for Napoleon and it seemed to him a small price to pay for glory. When a battle was raging round him he sat his horse unmoved by the sight of great masses of men struggling against each other in desperate combat. As calmly as though he were moving pieces on a chess-board he would direct a charge of cavalry against a wall of bayonets and would watch their ranks mowed down by a deadly hurricane of bullets, with that calm inscrutable face which seldom betrayed the slightest emotion either in the moment of victory or defeat. He did not spare his soldiers either individually or *en masse*. His reward for a brave man was to choose him for some perilous adventure where death lay in watch for him. And the regiment which gained his warmest praise was selected to storm the strongest position or to lead the van against the enemy's centre. But he knew his heroic Frenchmen. He knew that they were inspired by the same carelessness of death, and that they desired no higher mark of his favour than this selection for the post of peril. Never once does

the Emperor seem to have been filled with any remorse for the thousands of lives sacrificed in his wars. Believing as he did in a future life, and sensitive as he was to the influence of imagination, he did not have at times a vision of ghostly legions crowding round him on the other side of the great river crying curses on him for the slaughter in which they had fallen victims. On the contrary, he believed with a kind of Mohammedan fanaticism that the warriors who had fallen on his battlefields would hail him after death with the enthusiasm they had shown to him in life, welcoming him to the eternal shades as the great hero who had led them to glory. "In after life," he said, not altogether seriously perhaps, yet not quite jestingly, "I shall rejoin my companions in the Elysian fields. Yes, Kléber, Désaix, Bessières, Duroc, Ney, Murat, Massèna, Berthier, will come to greet me, and to talk with me of what we have done together. On seeing me they will rekindle with enthusiasm and glory, and we will discourse of our wars and glory with the Scipios, the Hannibals, with Cæsar and with Frederick. There will be pleasure in that unless"—he added smiling—"they should be alarmed below to see so many warriors assembled together."

And though death seemed to him a small thing when it was the price of national honour and of that word "Glory" which was like a trumpet call to his soul and to the men who followed him to the gates of death, he was not callous or cruel. After a great battle which he had directed with extraordinary *sang-froid* he would show an almost womanly tenderness for the wounded. It has been attested by many of his soldiers that he was frequently in the habit of riding over the field of battle after an action, accompanied by numbers of his staff, and by persons carrying restoratives of different kinds for the purpose of resuscitating any of the wounded in whom signs of life appeared.

Among other instances the Duke of Rovigo mentioned that after the battle of Wagram, Napoleon, accompanied by him and several others, rode over the field and pointed out for assistance many of the wounded from whom life had not yet departed. While employed in this manner the body of a colonel named Pepin, who had fallen under his displeasure for some misconduct several years before and had not been actively employed until a short time before the battle of Wagram, attracted his attention. He was on his back, a ball had perforated his head, and life was not extinct, though he was insensible:

"Ah! Pepin, poor fellow," said Napoleon with emotion, "I am sorry to see him here, and still more so that before he met his fate I had not an opportunity of letting him know that I had forgiven him, and forgotten his conduct."

When he left Moscow, outwardly calm, but inwardly crushed by a calamity which he knew to be the beginning of the end, when his army was starving, and the roads were choked by men dying through sheer exhaustion, he gave orders that every attention was to be given to the sick and wounded:

"Sacrifice your baggage, everything to them," he said to some of his generals. "Let the waggons be devoted to their use, and if necessary, your own saddles. This was the course I pursued at Jean d'Acre. The officers will first relinquish their horses, then the sub-officers, and finally the men. Assemble the generals under your command and make them sensible how necessary to these circumstances is humanity. The Romans bestowed civil crowns on those who preserved their citizens. I shall not be less grateful."

In one of the desperate encounters with the Russians who endeavoured to cut off the retreat of the Grand Army, Napoleon gained a victory which cost more than many a defeat. There seemed to be more dead victors than living victors.

In this crowd of corpses over which it was necessary to walk in order to follow the Emperor, a horse's hoof struck a wounded man, and made him cry out with a last groan of life or pain. Napoleon, who had been very silent and gloomy at the sight of so many victims, became suddenly excited. He relieved himself by cries of indignation, and by the numbers of orders he gave for the relief of the unfortunate soldier. Somebody tried to appease him by saying it was "only a Russian," but he answered with emotion that "there were no enemies after victory, only men!" Then he dispersed the officers accompanying him so that they might give assistance to those whom they could hear groaning in every part of the field.

Nor was Napoleon unmoved by the deaths of his companions-in-arms, those men who were closest to him in council, who had been his generals in many a campaign and whom he had bullied and cajoled by turns with all the bluntness and tenderness of true friendship.

When the news was brought to him after a skirmish outside Dresden that Duroc had been mortally wounded by a cannon ball, his grief was poignant. Giving orders that all operations should be suspended until the next day, he cried out to Berthier "Where have they carried him? How is he, Berthier?"

Berthier told him that Duroc was lying in a house at Makersdorf and that there was no hope for him.

"I must see him!" cried the Emperor. "My poor, poor Duroc!"

That evening he went to the house where his comrade lay dying. Berthier and the Duke of Vicenza accompanied him. Duroc was terribly mangled and his face was shattered and unrecognizable.

When the group of generals entered he turned his head and fastened his one eye upon the Emperor with the glassy stare of a dying man. He fainted, and Napoleon bending down put his arm round him and raised him up.

"Is there no hope?" he asked the doctors, and they answered none.

Presently Duroc regained consciousness, and looking up at the Emperor, murmured, "Some opium, for pity's sake!"

The Emperor went frightfully pale and taking Duroc's hand pressed it to his heart. Then he staggered out of the room. "It is terrible! Terrible!" he said. "My poor, dear Duroc! What a loss!"

He burst into tears and returned in silence to his camp. When the hour came of Duroc's death, he bought some ground and gave directions for a monument to his fallen comrade. He wrote out the inscription, and without a word handed to Berthier a paper on which were the following lines:

"Here General Duroc, Grand Marshal of the Palace to the Emperor Napoleon, gloriously struck by a cannon-ball, died in the arms of the Emperor, his friend."

This was in 1813, when Napoleon had to face the coalition of Europe, and when his Imperial power was tottering, but he found time to make the most generous provisions for the widow and child of the man he had loved.

This is only one example of many scenes of emotion over the death-bed of his comrades in arms. When Lannes was struck down he visited him daily until he died, and then, pushing General Marbot on one side, he approached the corpse, kissing it and bathing it with tears.

"What a loss for France and for me!" he cried, when Desaix died at the battle of Marengo. Napoleon returned to his head-quarters after the victory very gloomy and silent. His secretary asked him if he were not satisfied with the great triumph. Napoleon's eyes filled with tears. "Alas," he said, "poor Desaix! If I could only have embraced him after the battle what a glorious day it would have been!"

At St. Helena, when the soul of the man was brooding continually over his past greatness and the tragedy of his downfall and of his inactivity, he often used to speak of these old comrades and then he would brighten up a little with enthusiasm.

"Of all the generals I ever had under me," he said, "Desaix and Kléber possessed the greatest talents; especially Desaix, as Kléber only loved glory inasmuch as it was the means of procuring him riches and pleasures, whereas Desaix loved glory for itself and despised everything else. Desaix was wholly wrapt up in war and glory. To him riches and pleasure were valueless, nor did he give them a moment's thought. He was a little, black-looking man, about an inch smaller than I am, always badly dressed, sometimes even ragged, and despising every comfort or convenience. When in Egypt I made him a present of a complete field equipage several times, but he always lost it. Wrapt up in a cloak Desaix threw himself under a gun and slept as contentedly as if he were in a palace. Upright and honest in all his proceedings he was called by the Arabs 'the just Sultan.' He was intended by nature for a great general. Kléber and Desaix were an irreparable loss to France."

All this proves that Napoleon was not a cold and heartless man as he has too often been described; on the contrary, his good nature towards the men who had served with him amounted almost to weakness. He was not ignorant that many abused his generosity. With Fouché as the governor general of an army of spies, Napoleon was kept well informed of the private life and correspondence of his generals when any were beyond his own vigilance, and he so closely directed his military operations in every part of Europe that he was not to be deceived by the blunders or the disobedience of his officers. Yet he could never bring himself to punish them severely. Habit was a second nature to him, as it is with smaller men, and he hated to remove from his side counsellors whom he had once trusted or comrades who had

Hyppolyte Delaroche

Henry Vidal

N

56

fought with him. When Bourrienne, his old school chum, betrayed his trust in the most flagrant way, being guilty not only of corruption but of actual treachery, and correspondence with the exiled Bourbons, Napoleon only removed him from his diplomatic offices and warned him of his severe displeasure. He deserved death, but Napoleon remembered that he had been the friend of his school-days, and was merciful.

With his generals Napoleon was always '*bon camarade*.' There were times when his temper was quite beyond control, and he would storm at them with the fiercest indignation. But, to use a colloquial expression, his bark was always worse than his bite, and after one of these scenes he would quickly calm down and make amends to them by affectionate gestures and expressions.

During the campaign of Moscow, the Duke of Vicenza, after a violent controversy with him, left headquarters in the sulks and retired to a garret. He sent in his resignation to Napoleon and asked him for a command in Spain. Napoleon sent back the letter, and he had put at the end of it in vile, illegible handwriting:

"I do not want you to be killed in Spain. Come and see me. I am waiting for you."

For a time the Duke of Vicenza refused to make peace, and he was persuaded to go back with Berthier to the headquarters. When Napoleon saw him he laughed, and stretching out his hand, said:

"You know that we are like two lovers and cannot get on without each other."

In that same fatal campaign, disastrous from the outset and increasing in accumulated horror from week to week, many violent quarrels took place.

His field-marshals, Murat, Ney, Davoust, Mortier and Duroc, some other officers, and the Comte de Ségur, did not hesitate to urge him to retreat before it should be too late, and did not scruple to

put before him, in the plainest and most brutal way, the frightful physical sufferings of the army, to which Napoleon himself seemed blind. He tried to brush aside their arguments. He tried to animate them with some of his own fatalistic belief that all would be right, that fate itself demanded a forward movement into the heart of Russia. "He seemed," said the Comte de Ségur, one of these intimate counsellors, "as if he wanted to unburden his heart of the weight which oppressed him, and to seek in the complacency of his generals, or in their ardour, some encouragement in spite of the facts and in spite of himself." He spoke brightly, excitedly, and without interruption. But when he paused his comrades in arms did not give him the words he wanted. Murat and Berthier, among others, held to their opinions previously expressed, and overwhelmed him with their doubts.

"Napoleon," says the Comte de Ségur, "irritated to discover again in his two first-lieutenants that same uneasiness which he tried to struggle against himself, abandoned himself to anger against them. . . He burst out furiously against them. . . When his passion had spent itself in a torrent of words, he called them back, but this time the malcontents held aloof. The Emperor then made amends for his excitability by caresses, calling Berthier 'his wife,' and his outbursts 'domestic quarrels.'"

With his men and junior officers Napoleon did not indulge in such freedom of speech. Though he was always familiar with them it was the familiarity of a great man who recognises and is prepared to enforce his authority. But everyone knew his favourite gesture of approval—the pinching of a man's ear. It was a proud day when any private soldier of the legion was called into the presence of the Emperor. It would be a great grenadier perhaps, a giant of a man with long black hair and drooping moustaches, and the French uniform all ragged and soiled during the ardours of a long campaign, and he would come trembling and blushing like a big

booby to his great little man with the piercing eyes. Then the Emperor would take him by the tassels, drawing him towards him in a kind of embrace, and say, "My friend, I hear you have done bravely. I wish to give you my thanks. France and the Emperor are proud of you. How would you like to be sergeant of a company, eh? or will you take this despatch through the enemy's lines? It is a mission for a gallant fellow who is not afraid of death."

Then he would pinch the fellow's ear, asking after his wife or his sweetheart, and the man would go away with his ear tingling, and his heart on fire with a new enthusiasm. That was the way Napoleon made himself adored. He stooped to conquer.

Once, when he was at Milan, he had just mounted his horse when a dragoon, all dusty and on foot, arrived with an important despatch.

Napoleon gave a verbal answer and ordered the courier to take it back at full speed.

"I have no horse" said the man. "I rode him so hard that it fell dead at your palace gates."

Napoleon got down from his own horse.

"Take this," he said.

Then, seeing the man hesitate, he said, "You think him too splendidly caparisoned, too fine an animal, eh? No, my friend, nothing is too good for a French soldier."

"Nothing is too good for a French soldier." They were not words of insincerity. Napoleon by a thousand little acts of good nature and generosity proved that he had the comfort and honour of his men at heart. The story of how at Arcole he stood guard in place of the sentry who had fallen asleep is well-known, and has been made the subject of a famous picture. It was a wonderful act of comradeship. The man was guilty of death, but Napoleon, though he was a strict disciplinarian, was also very human. When the man awoke and discovered his Emperor holding his gun he was overwhelmed

with horror, but Napoleon's quiet words of reproof made him ready to die for such a general, and the story told round the camp fires warmed the hearts of every man in the army. It is no wonder they loved *le petit caporal*, a title conferred on him by soldiers who recognised that he was their comrade as well as their officer.

"Never yet, I believe," said Napoleon, "has there been such devotion shown by soldiers to their general as mine have displayed towards me. At Arcole Colonel Minron threw himself before me, covered my body with his own, and received the blow which was intended for me. He fell at my feet, and his blood spurted up in my face. In all my misfortunes never has the soldier been wanting in fidelity—never has man been served more faithfully by his troops. With the last drop of blood gushing from their veins they cried '*Vive Napoleon!*'"

Immersed in all the political problems of his Empire, having to defend his position, or to strengthen it by almost continual warfare, Napoleon at the time took a personal interest in the welfare of the humblest of those who served him. A page-boy writing to his mother told her proudly of how he was treated by the Emperor on a journey in wet weather. The boy was drenched to the skin, and seeing his condition Napoleon got down from the carriage and told him to put up at a post-house and get dry and warm. "I know," said the youth, "that the Emperor sent several times to enquire after my health."

Once his valet, Constant, had a fall from his horse.

"The First Consul at once stopped his horses, gave orders to have me lifted up, and showed how I ought to be treated. I was taken to the barracks at Rueil, and before going on he insisted upon knowing that I was out of danger. On the day I went back to his service he came and asked after my health in the kindest manner."

When he reviewed his troops, either in time of peace or war, it was not a mere ceremony to enhance his own magnificence. He went down the ranks, having the haversacks unbuttoned, examining their contents, opening the tunics and seeing whether the cloth and the linen were of good quality, and he asked the men as to their comfort and happiness, personal tastes and ambitions. He was specially interested in the youngest soldiers and behaved to them in a fatherly way, showing the most affectionate solicitude for their welfare, so that they were inspired with the highest enthusiasm for his personality. He was not a great Emperor lifted up to such a supreme height of power that his common soldiers were merely unknown units in his army. These men felt that he had a friendly feeling for each one of them, for even the youngest and humblest recruit.

Nor in the later days of his career did he give praise only to those veterans who had fought under him in many battles. The younger men had their share of glory.

The magic of Napoleon's personality is only half explained by these stories plucked from the notebooks of men who knew him on the battlefield and in the Chambers of State. It is difficult to analyse that charm which won over even his enemies when they came in direct touch with him. Part of it, no doubt, was due to his admirable simplicity. In the beginning of his career he was too intent upon his work in hand to trouble about a pose. In his speech he was direct and blunt, going straight to the heart of a subject. In those days he was even a little uncouth, especially with women, but all except a few, like Madame de Staël, whose vanity he wounded by not responding with gallantry to their airs and graces, were bewitched by this handsome, simple young man with the burning eyes and the smile of unaffected good nature, who, in spite of the manners of a *bourgeois* or because of them, had the conscious authority of a man not to be fooled by phrases. This simplicity he

carried into Courts and Camps. Alexander of Russia, simple also, like most men of acknowledged greatness, destined either by their own force of character, or as in the Czar's case, by hereditary right to be the rulers of men's lives, was won over in spite of all political differences, by the character of the man who at Tilsit was the dominant spirit of European destinies. The conquering general, the intriguer, the adventurer, was not apparent, it was the *man* who revealed himself, the man of blunt good nature, of unaffected manners, entirely lacking in pomposity or self-consciousness, or petty vanity; the *bourgeois* who was proud of having sprung from the people, and of having shared their poverty, who met a king or a peasant with the same desire to acquire new knowledge, with the same readiness to discuss the problems of life as man to man, throwing aside etiquette and ceremony as foolishness for triflers. It was this simplicity which astonished and almost awed those who approached him. A vain man may be flattered, a pompous man may be fooled by mock humility, a brutal man may be rebuked by silent scorn, a man who surrounds himself with all the etiquette of grandeur may be betrayed by those who bow low to him, and cheated by those who walk backwards blinking, as though he were the sun which blinded them. But when an Emperor has none of these vanities, when he treats his counsellors as "*bons camarades*," taking off his coat to examine their reports or their budgets, as though he were a shop-keeper looking through his week's accounts with an eye on the half-pence, then high officers of State have to be very careful. So he behaved when he was dictating in peace or shuffling the court cards of Europe. He went about the business in a direct way, *sans cérémonie.*

Yet this simplicity did not come from a lack of dignity. On occasions when ceremony was necessary there was no man invested with more personal grandeur, no man who could play a high part with more consummate impressiveness.

That little man—five feet two in his stockings—then dominated the greatest assembly by his presence, by the stateliness of his movements and features, by the imperiousness of his glance. And he had at these times an almost sublime eloquence. Dropping his colloquial speech he thundered out majestic phrases full of fire and impressive in their solemn oratory. They were not the mere empty phrases of a florid imagination—"sound and fury signifying nothing." They were speeches of the imperial mind, with broad ideals and vast knowledge. They came from an imagination that had been truly stirred by the great scenes of life, and by the inspiration of history. The past spoke to Napoleon, the memory of old heroes of earlier civilisations haunted him, and he addressed the world with the authority of a man who believed himself to be the successor of the great leaders of humanity in former ages.

With all his good nature too, and that familiarity of speech and gesture which endeared him to the men whom he called "his children," his wrath was rather terrible. Once moved to anger, his face, clearly chiselled as though carved out of marble, had a frown before which giants who could have lifted him up with one hand trembled and grew pale. His eyes glowed with a fierce heat which seemed to scorch the very soul of those who offended him. But, reading all the memoirs about him written by those who both loved and hated him, one must confess that his mastery over men was not produced chiefly by fear. Perhaps his greatest power of attraction was the extraordinary gift he had of making everyone feel that he took a personal and special interest in the particular person he happened to be talking to. The man might be a king, a general, a diplomatist or a simple dragoon, but in five minutes' conversation Napoleon induced that man to believe that he, the great Emperor, was closely interested in the private life, the opinions and the character of this new acquaintance. That was not altogether a pose, though Napoleon knew, as he confessed,

how to play upon human nature. But the truth is that Napoleon *was* interested. He had an insatiable curiosity and zest for knowledge. He felt that there was no man living who could not teach him something. He would question a young recruit from a remote province of France about his home and neighbourhood, about the problems of work and poverty in his district, about the social customs and political opinions of the peasants.

He would interrogate a king or a great statesman, or a foreign surgeon, or journalist, in exactly the same way upon broader problems of national life. No subject was too great, none too petty, to be above or below his keen observation and interest. It had the effect of impressing anyone with whom he conversed with the idea that the Emperor had a high opinion of his intelligence, and that he distinguished him as a superior character. That is what makes for personal loyalty. It is always pleasant to enter into conversation with a great leader, but when the great person condescends to ask for information and advice, it flatters the vanity of the human heart.

This habit of interrogation and of interest in every human soul that came in touch with him, had naturally a profound influence upon Napoleon himself. He was not a great reader, though he had studied Plutarch's *Lives*, and knew a good deal of ancient history, and was not ignorant of the masters of his own literature. His life was too full of war and administration to allow him much time for reading, though there were always a few books in his baggage on any campaign, to which he would turn after an exhausting day. But, while giving him credit for a literary education beyond the ordinary opportunities of a man of action, it is certain that his knowledge was not chiefly derived from books. It was due mainly to his intercourse with men, and to that manner of conversation already described. It was thus that he became marvellously well informed, and he stored up in a retentive memory a host of facts

BONAPARTE. PREMIER CONSUL
Painted and Engraved by
C. F. G. Levachez

which astonished men who had been life-long students. In Italy, in Egypt, in Spain, and Germany, he had observed with keen eyes, and questioned everybody. The religious traditions, the national character, the systems of taxation, of drainage, of agriculture, and the social habits of many peoples were familiar to him and provided him with texts for his philosophy. Although he was curiously ignorant of many elementary rules of the British constitution, and had strong and natural prejudices against us, he was a shrewd judge of the English character and had a profound admiration for our best qualities and advantages. With Barry O'Meara, his doctor at St. Helena, he entered into long discussions about English politics and life, and even during "the last phase," when, like a golden eagle chained to a rock, he pined at the torture of his inactivity and humiliation, he still showed the same old desire for information. So it was that Napoleon was never at a loss in any company, or upon any topic of conversation. To a poet, painter, medical man, philosopher, or lawyer—to any man of any profession, he had something to say that was startling in its revelation of an extraordinary knowledge. His keen sense of logic, his orderly mind, his gift for getting to the heart of a problem, his breadth of view enabled him to discuss any subject with real authority. From given facts he would deduce general laws, sometimes wrong, but often profound in their knowledge of human nature, and always interesting.

Here then we get at some explanation of his personality; but if we ask, what was the first cause of his success, what was the secret of that power which lifted him to his prodigious height? there is only one answer—efficiency.

Napoleon became Emperor of the French because he was the most efficient man for the task of governing France and carrying its ideas beyond the narrow boundaries of that nation. After all, what we are pleased to call the secret of his soul was summed up

in that one word. His mighty genius which awed the world and still awes us, seems to be something mysterious and supernatural. Yet what is genius?—the art of taking pains, or as Napoleon himself said—the talent of perseverance. Whatever he did, he did with absolute concentration of mind, with a resolute determination to do it thoroughly, with an intelligence and industry which enabled him to achieve the desired result simply and swiftly. He was the most industrious man in France. No clerk in the Civil Service worked more laboriously, nor for longer hours. He never shirked drudgery, and, though he had vast ideas, he delighted in detail. As head of the State, he looked closely into the affairs of every department. No detail of administration was too insignificant to escape his attention. He delved into masses of figures with the acute intelligence of a chartered accountant. He was, in fact, the chartered accountant of his own Empire. Every phase of social life which affected the well-being of his subjects came under his scrutiny. Religion, education, agriculture, commerce, literature, art and the drama, the problems of work and wages, of sanitation and building, and a thousand other branches of national or local importance were studied with that orderly brain which took commonsense and intensely practical views of things, not untouched, however, by an imagination and idealism which made them part of a broad and liberal philosophy.

We know what he did for religion and education. His Concordat with the Pope reopened the churches and checked those waves of atheism and anarchy which threatened to destroy France and hand it over to the foul fiends of internal strife and national depravity. He established a system of education far in advance of the most liberal ideas of other nations. We know what he did to establish a just and merciful law. The *Code Napoleon* is still the model of all civil codes, and the admiration of the greatest lawyers of our own times. So, in a thousand ways, he endeavoured to establish

the welfare of his people and to raise the moral and intellectual tone of the nation. Before or after a battle on which his own destiny depended he would write instructions to his officers of state upon the most varied subjects. Here is one on the question of journalism, sent by Napoleon at Osterade to the Minister of the Interior:

"An effective mode of encouraging literature," he wrote, "would be to establish a journal with an enlightened criticism, free from that coarse brutality which characterises the existing newspapers, and which is so contrary to the true interests of the nation. Journals now never criticise with the intention of repressing mediocrity, guiding inexperience, or encouraging rising merit. All their endeavour is to wither, to destroy. Articles should be selected for journals where reasoning is mingled with eloquence, where praise for deserved merit is tempered with censure for faults. Merit, however inconsiderable, should be sought for and rewarded."

He had a profound desire to raise the literature of France to its former high place, and though we may smile at the simplicity of a man who desired to organise and direct literary genius according to the methods by which he had organised the first army in Europe out of disorderly masses of ill-armed peasants, we cannot but wonder at the extraordinarily wide interests and the indomitable industry of the Dictator. Nor were his criticism and advice without a good deal of sound sense.

Writing to Cambacérès from Berlin, in 1807, he said—"Though the army is endeavouring to the utmost to do honour to the nation, literature is doing everthing to dishonour it. I have read the wretched verses sung at the Opera. They are absurd. It is ridiculous to order a poet to write an eclogue as you would a dress-maker to make a muslin gown."

In 1806 when he was in the heart of Poland, he actually set himself the task of reviewing a play called *Les Templiers,* by Raynouard:

"The nature of things," he wrote, "is the only motive power that may be used in tragedy; it is politics that lead to catastrophes without any real crime, and Monsieur Raynouard has missed that point in his play. Had he followed that principle, Philippe le Bel might have been a fine character; we should have pitied him, and understood that he could not do otherwise. Nothing proves how little real knowledge authors possess of the springs and motives of tragedy than the criminal proceedings that they set before us on the stage."

He took an intense interest in the National Theatres, and not only directed their programmes of pieces to be performed, but superintended their financial arrangements. He also gave Imperial patronage to the best actors; for Talma especially he had a personal affection, dating from his youth, when he got free seats from him.

It is amusing to read a note in his correspondence for 1807:

"If things do not go better at the Opera," he wrote, "I will put in a good soldier to manage them who will wake them up."

No doubt as a literary critic Napoleon was not immense. But as a patron he was wonderfully generous, and acknowledged the dignity of the fine arts. When it was proposed to grant a pension of £12 to the descendants of Corneille for whose genius he had the most enthusiastic devotion, he wrote, in 1813, when his Empire was tottering to its fall:

"It would be unworthy the acceptance by a man of whom we should make a King. My intention is to make the oldest member of the family a Baron with a pension of 10,000 francs. I shall also give a Barony to the head of the other branch with a pension of 4,000 francs if they be not brothers; as for the daughters, let me know their ages, and I will grant them such a pension as will enable them to live."

When Napoleon was abroad fighting battle after battle, dethroning and setting up Kings and altering the boundaries of

nations, it was not a case of "when the cat's away the mice will play." The "mice" in France, small administrators, heads of departments, town councillors, and other servants of the State, found that "the cat" had a very long sight and a very long arm. Despatches or brief messages, dated from the field of battle or from foreign courts reached them, and gave them a rude awakening if they had been somnambulent. If they had been guilty of defrauding the public or disobeying their Emperor's commands, they were very sharply called to order though Napoleon might be a thousand miles away.

To the Minister of the Interior Napoleon wrote from Madrid: "Send me a short report about the works I have ordered. How is the Bourse getting on? Is the Convent of the Filles-Saint Thomas destroyed yet? Is the building rising? What is being done at the Arc de Triomphe? The new wine depôt, the new granaries, and the Madeleine, are they all making progress? Shall I drive over the bridge of Jena on my return?"

When he returned to Fontainebleau, after the Treaty of Vienna, he sat down immediately and wrote to his Minister of the Interior:

"In the faubourgs of Saint Denis and Saint Martin there are three pumps without water. The inhabitants of these faubourgs think it is owing to carelessness. Send me a report upon the matter."

When he was on the way to Prussia in 1806, at a time when it might be thought his whole mind was absorbed by the vast military problem in front of him, he sent back a note to Paris:

"Ask Monsieur Denon whether it be true that entrance to the Museum was postponed yesterday and that inconvenience was thereby caused to the public. Nothing could have been more opposed to my wishes."

It may be imagined that with this attention to every detail of State, Napoleon had few idle hours. The truth is that he was

never idle, and his only relaxation from one kind of work was to plunge with new zest into other work. His unfortunate secretaries would have been worse than slaves but for the genuine kindness and indulgence with which the Emperor treated them. He had mercy upon them, never on himself. With a cast-iron constitution in his best years he was careless of any discomfort and had amazing physical endurance. Two or three hours' sleep satisfied him, and for nineteen hours he continued to work indefatigably, dictating despatches to officers in every part of the world, to all his ministers at home, to people of every rank and class. His correspondence to his family was enormous, and though he carried upon his shoulders the cares of war and peace, he never forgot to acknowledge a service or to send a friendly message to old friends. In addition to the correspondence dictated by himself he had to deal with reports from every part of his Empire, which he read with absolute concentration of mind, even in his hut or in his coach, in an arduous campaign, and taking each document, and sending back detailed instructions.

One day when he had dictated some of these instructions to one of his secretaries he came back to find the man asleep with the work undone. The poor wretch was exhausted by a long day's labour and nature had overmastered him. When he awoke he discovered the document written out fully in Napoleon's own handwriting, which he had taken great pains to make legible.

It would require a volume adequately to describe the Emperor's vast fields of industry. Stress has been laid upon his attention to affairs of State, but it must not be forgotten that first and foremost he was a soldier. The details of his military organisation would alone have been sufficient to crush any man of ordinary intellectual energy and endurance. He superintended every branch of his army, he inspected the clothing, the victualling, the arms and ammunition of his troops, and he was a master of every

department. He knew the cost of a uniform down to the last farthing, and the length of time required to make it. Woe betide the contractor who defrauded or delayed. He had a technical knowledge of ammunition and could test it as an expert. If there was any flaw in a musket or a bayonet he detected it at once and launched his wrath upon the offending makers. He was not to be deceived by any rascally business man who put commercial profit first and patriotism second. We wanted a Napoleon in the Boer War! His correspondence shows how he audited the accounts and tested the equipment of his army: "The bread is bad, and for the meat we pay ten sous to the contractor, whereas the butcher only charges eight sous. The shoes are not worth thirty sous. The military cloaks are absurdly short, some of them do not reach the knees. The horses have cost twenty francs more than they ought to have cost. The flax is excellent when it comes to buying it, worthless when it comes to paying for it." When any of his subordinates fudged him off with excuses or false information Napoleon looked at them with astonishment and indignation: "Do you think I don't know better than that?" he would say, "Do you imagine that I am without knowledge or experience?" By instinct as well as by training a mathematician, he was amazingly rapid in his calculations and could easily discover any error in figures. By this means he was able to check many false accounts, and early in his career it was recognised by departmental officials that corrupt practices did not pay. They were too easily discovered.

Perhaps this essay on Napoleon's personality has travelled too far from his psychological evolution, but after all it is by a man's method of work and by his system of life that we may understand the way in which his spirit moved. Napoleon's ideals would have been without issue either of success or failure unless he had given them a practical effect by indomitable industry, and by an iron determination to secure success. So far this examination has

dealt with the man chiefly in his days of triumph, and when his genius had its fullest strength. But Napoleon's soul must be revealed also when failure closed upon him and wore down his mighty intellect. There is no doubt that the Russian campaign of 1812-13 was the time when his genius began to decline. He had lived at too high pressure. His incessant labours had proved too much even for his own endurance, and the greatness of his success was the cause of his ultimate ruin. The political circumstances of his downfall need not be considered here. They belong to the history of the world rather than to the personality of Napoleon himself. While his power depended upon himself he had succeeded always and irresistibly, but, when he had to delegate his authority to vassal kings and to generals beyond the immediate reach of his command, his system weakened immediately and the forces he had stirred up in Europe surged back upon him. Napoleon having turned a continent into an armed camp, the vanquished only waited to recover from defeat to attack the giant victor. One by one he had crushed his enemies but they formed an alliance of despair which for a time buried all previous feuds among themselves. England also had produced the man of destiny—two men indeed, who were decreed by fate to frustrate the over-ambitious schemes of one who had no doubt stepped beyond the boundaries marked out for him. Nelson guarded the great waters, and by securing the supremacy of the sea against France destroyed Napoleon's dreams of becoming the Dictator of the World. Then Wellington came, and in the Peninsular war gave blow after blow to Napoleon's power by defeating his generals time after time. There were many other causes which led to the destruction of Napoleon's imperial rule—the vastness of the arena which he had to cover with his troops, the exhaustion of France after having been drained for years of its best blood, the reaction which follows a period of national excitement and enthusiasm. These we may leave to the historian.

NAPOLEON IN EGYPT
By Edouard Detaille, K.C.V.O.
Reproduced from the original in the collection of Sir George White, Bart., Cotham House, Bristol.

But in the soul of Napoleon himself there were the seeds of destruction. By 1812 he had reached his zenith. The bow had been stretched long enough, and then was bound to slacken. If we follow him in his career after that date we shall see that, in spite of repeated revelations of his old energy, the man was getting tired in spite of superb efforts of will, he was losing his old authority and self confidence. And as a fatalist, believing firmly in predestination, his first reverses cast a gloom over him. He foresaw his own failure even before it was prophesied by his enemies. From 1812 onwards he was a man not impelled forward by supernatural forces but fighting against fate. This is clearly brought out in the masterly and tragic narrative of the Russian campaign by the Comte de Ségur. Through all that progress of tragedy Napoleon was haunted by gloomy forebodings. Even when he rejected the advice of all his counsellors before grappling with the Russian bear, it was evident that he went forward in this final policy of conquest against his own instincts and secret promptings. To O'Meara in St. Helena he said, as already quoted, that he marched always with the opinion of five or six millions of men. In this case it was not so. He was alone in his decision. Unlike the usual swiftness of his execution when his plan was decided, he lingered on in Paris, delaying the attack upon the Czar, of whose Empire he was continually jealous, not with the petty jealousy of a vain man but with the jealousy of one who desired to have no bulwark of barbarism checking the progress of an Imperial rule founded upon liberal principles and ideals. That, at least, was his own definition of his antagonism to the Czar's autocracy. In Paris Napoleon stayed surrounded by his nobles, who were terrified of the events in view. "These," says the Comte de Ségur, "have nothing more to gain, they have much to keep, thus their personal interest is united to the general wish of the people who are tired of war, and without contesting

the necessity for the expedition they fear its approach. But they only speak about it among themselves, secretly, either because they are afraid of displeasing, of destroying the confidence of the people, or of being proved mistaken by success. So it is that before Napoleon they keep silence, and appear even to have no knowledge of a war which for a long time has been the subject of conversation throughout Europe. But at last this silent respect, which he had himself taken care to impose, annoys him. He suspects more disapproval than reserve. He is no longer satisfied with obedience; he wishes to add conviction—that would be a new conquest! Moreover, he knows better than anyone how to gauge the power of opinion, which according to himself, *creates or kills a king*. Indeed, either from policy or from self-love he wishes to persuade."

So it was at last this deadly silence of his friends and advisers was broken by Napoleon who found it unbearable. He asked their advice, and the boldest among them used every argument in their power to persuade him against this new war which would be waged not only with hordes of barbarians from the uttermost regions of the Czar's empire, but with nature itself. "In the deserts of snow and ice," said one of these counsellors with fatal prophecy, "a whole army may perish without gaining glory."

Napoleon was furious with these prophets of evil, yet knowing him well they knew that his anger betrayed his own discomposure. Then he chaffed them, finding a hundred persuasive answers to each objection, so that the man who had put it forward was almost convinced against himself. Yet again they suspected the sincerity of the Emperor. He was so anxious to convince them that they were sure he doubted.

So the time passes, and little by little he gets nearer to the war with Russia while Spain is still unsubdued, forgetting that principle so often preached and practised by himself, "never to attack two

positions at the same time, but only one, and always with full force!" But his secret doubts increase and he becomes anxious and agitated. He prepares a kind of report on the political situation in every country in Europe and is absorbed in the study of it.

"His anxiety increases" says the Comte de Ségur. "Irresolution is a torture to him. Often one sees him leaning back on a sofa where he stays several hours plunged in profound meditation. Then he bounds up suddenly, convulsively, and with exclamations. He believes he heard someone utter his name, and he cries out 'Who calls me?' Then getting up and walking up and down in great agitation he says aloud, 'No, certainly, nothing has sufficiently settled down round me, not even at home, for such a distant war. It must be postponed for three years!'"

That was not the old Napoleon either physically or mentally. That indecision, anxiety to persuade himself, restlessness of movement and general agitation, reveal some change in him not characteristic of the man who in his best days always knew his own mind and carried it out calmly and swiftly. It seems probable that at this time he had the germs of a disease in him which afterwards caused his death. He was conscious of this himself, and said that he had in him the beginning of that which would carry him off. But undoubtedly his mental powers were losing their grip upon the vast problem he had created. When at last he set out from Dresden—where a concourse of petty kings did homage to him—towards the heart of Russia, he was gloomy and very silent. Sensitive always to natural surroundings, he, like many among his troops, seemed to be appalled by the mournful, barren and lifeless solitude through which they marched. For a long time not an enemy appeared to bar the way. These tramping legions seemed alone in the world. League after league they marched, at first through oppressive heat, then under torrential rains, then through

an atmosphere disagreeably cold, and still no roar of cannon broke the deadly silence. Men began to fall with exhaustion, ten thousand horses perished in the nights of frost. Later on dysentery claimed many victims. Then starvation threatened the entire army. It was not like an army marching through a rich and cultivated land where provision could be got by payment or by force. They had to carry their supplies with them and eke them out; marched on empty stomachs. When in this silent country they passed villages and cottages, the men could not be restrained from marauding. But they came back with little booty. Most of the houses had been abandoned and all their goods scattered over the roads and fields. Fierce with hunger, it is certain that the soldiers were often brutal to those inhabitants who still clung to their poor cottages. Many horrible scenes took place which were dishonourable to the prestige of the French troops. Their officers tried to restrain them, but starving men are not to be held back from the sight or hope of food. Napoleon himself was powerless to prevent pillage. He persuaded himself that the privations of his soldiers were exaggerated, and as for the rest, he said "the people must suffer the loss of horses, carriages, and even houses; it was the movement of a torrent; it was the worst side of war, evil must be done to do good."

Unlike himself he denied facts and ignored them. It was the beginning of the great change in him. "Give me facts!" he had cried, but now he deliberately turned from them, indulging in dreams. Later, after engagements which led to no victories, because the enemy always retired as the French army approached, he would not be persuaded by the generals to draw back before it was yet too late. He argued that they must go on, they must strike such a deadly blow at the heart of Russia that his prestige would be restored and Alexander would treat for peace. They must march on to Moscow and capture the ancient capital of the

Empire. His marshals protested, piteously, violently. Their men were dropping on all sides with disease and exhaustion. The sufferings of this army before which the enemy disappeared were too terrible. Napoleon turned on them with wrath. To Berthier and others he said, "I have made my generals too rich. They no longer aspire to anything but the pleasures of the chase, or to dazzle Paris by their sumptuous carriages. Undoubtedly they are disgusted with war!"

And these men who had been the heroes of many great campaigns bowed their heads and resigned themselves to fate.

To Duroc even, his greatest friend, who still persisted in presenting accurate reports of the condition of the army, the Emperor showed his disapproval by a cold silence. He admitted that he saw well enough that the Russians wanted to draw him on. Nevertheless it was absolutely necessary to go as far as Smolensk. That was the key to the two great roads to Moscow and St. Petersburg. He must seize the place, establish himself until the spring and then if peace were not declared, march on to the two capitals and dictate his terms.

To Smolensk, therefore, he led his legions, and a hundred thousand men of the Russian army barred the way. Napoleon at the sight of his enemy was transported with joy. He clapped his hands and cried out "At last I have them!" He launched his men against the fortifications and they went forward in a storm of fire which decimated their ranks. The engagement stopped only when the night came, and then a dreadful silence reigned over the city till presently there rose great columns of smoke, the glamour of a strange light, then a flight of sparks, and at last great tongues of fire in different directions. Presently they united and formed a vast flame which spread right over Smolensk, and devoured the city with a sinister roar.

Napoleon, sitting outside his hut, watched this terrible sight silently. The cause of it was still undiscovered and the army passed the night under arms. At three o'clock in the morning a non-commissioned officer crept close to the walls and entered the city. Around him there was absolute silence until suddenly he was startled by the sound of voices. They were the voices of some Polish volunteers serving on the French side, who also had come to reconnoitre. And they had discovered the secret of the great fire and of the great silence.

Smolensk was abandoned by its inhabitants who had set fire to their own houses.

Napoleon, when the news was brought to him, seemed calm and undismayed. He ordered the army to take possession of the city; with drums beating and bugles sounding the men marched through the gates into a city of destitution. It was a pageant without spectators, a victory without fruit, a triumph of despair.

The soldiers who had marched so far in the hope of getting rest and food and the glory of a great victory, found themselves in hopeless misery. The wounded were without bandages or medical requirements, and the abandoned city, burning in every direction, provided no supplies. It was then that Napoleon's generals, staggered by the catastrophe, quarrelled with each other and with him.

But this is not the place to tell the story of his great tragedy. What happened at Smolensk happened on a more terrible scale at Moscow, to which the Emperor marched with relentless determination to strike a deadly blow at the heart of Russia, and to force his enemies to offer terms of peace. But after each battle the enemy had fallen back in good order and Moscow was sacrificed to this amazing plan of defence. Before the walls of the ancient capital Napoleon had been fired for awhile with his old enthusiasm. He issued an inspiring proclamation to his troops. Looking down

on the great city of palaces, he believed that at last he would regain the glory which had been denied too long. But again the Russian army had disappeared, and again his French troops marched into a city of silence. Then from all quarters a conflagration broke out, terrible and all devouring. Napoleon, looking down upon it from the Kremlin, was filled with ominous thoughts. "This bodes a great evil" he muttered again and again. The soul of the man was darkened as he gazed at the heavy clouds of smoke. His heart burned with anguish as fiercely as the flames which devoured whole quarters of the ancient capital of Russia. He could not rest or sleep. This was the end of all things. He had met his fate at Moscow. His destiny was fulfilled and it was the destiny of an irreparable disaster.

When at last, after lingering too long in this world of ruins, he gave the order to retreat, he seemed a dazed and broken man, utterly irresolute, stirred at times with violent passion and then melted by sudden gusts of emotion towards his generals and his poor exhausted soldiers. He endeavoured to secure some comforts for the sick and wounded. As we have seen, he gave orders that everything should be sacrificed for their welfare. But no sacrifice could relieve the sufferings of so many, no discipline could restore order to the remnants of an army utterly demoralised by every misfortune.

During the retreat the Russian winter set in with full rigour. Men dropped asleep, and never woke again, in the snow; others, as they marched, were frost-bitten and lost the use of their limbs, so that they also fell and died. And the Russian army which had always retreated when Napoleon had advanced, now hung upon the flanks of these masses of stricken men. Cossack troops cut off the stragglers, and swept again and again upon the scattered divisions of the "Grand Army" who had no spirit to defend themselves. Ney fought heroic rear-guard actions with veterans who

still preserved their old sense of discipline, and Napoleon, rousing himself at last from the lethargy of despair, succeeded with some of his old genius in baffling the strategy of the Russian generals who sought to close him in.

The grandeur of the man was indeed most sublime in this final retirement. No longer hiding from himself the immensity of his losses he seemed possessed with an almost terrible calm. But for his marvellous genius nothing could have prevented the complete surrender of his remaining forces. But for his almost miraculous hold over the devotion of his soldiers nothing could have persuaded them to struggle on until death put an end to their long torture.

Yet, in spite of this frightful calamity, such as the world had hardly seen since the beginning of history, Napoleon, when he reached Paris alone, did not call in vain upon the heroism of an heroic nation. He told them the truth, or nearly all the truth. It was not to be disguised, but he demanded a new army with which to repair his disaster, and 350,000 men responded to his call.

It is when studying this period of his life, during the days before his downfall, that the mind is most staggered by the greatness of this man, by his amazing courage in the face of overwhelming powers. And it is now that one finds it most difficult to justify him, one thinks of the mothers of France who had given their sons to him. One asks oneself whether patriotism should make such prodigious sacrifice. One wonders whether, after all, the enemies of Napoleon were not right in denouncing him as the world's greatest criminal.

These doubts and questionings are not to be answered easily. In our profound horror of such bloodshed, in our overwhelming pity for the nation which had been drained of its best blood, we are apt to overlook the hand of fate. Napoleon now, as before, was

NAPOLEON I.
From a Copy by Horatio Gibbs
After the Original by Delaroche

From a Copy by Horatio Gibbs
After the Original by Delaroche

still preserved their old sense of discipline and Napoleon, rousing himself at last from the lethargy of despair, succeeded with some of his old genius in baffling the strategy of the Russian generals who sought to close him in.

The grandeur of the man was indeed most sublime in this final retirement. No longer hiding from himself the enormity of his losses he betrayed possessed with an almost terrible calm. But for his marvellous genius nothing could have prevented the complete surrender of his remaining forces. But for his almost miraculous hold upon the devotion of his soldiers nothing could have persuaded them to struggle on until death put an end to their long torture.

Yet, in spite of this frightful calamity, such as the world had hardly seen since the beginning of history, Napoleon, when he reached Paris alone, did not call in vain upon the heroism of an heroic nation. He told them the truth, he told them all the truth. It was not to be disguised, but he demanded a new army with which to repair his disaster, and 300,000 men responded to his call.

It is when studying this period of his life, during the days before his downfall, that the mind is most staggered by the greatness of this man, by his amazing courage in the face of overwhelming powers. And it is now that one finds it most difficult to justify him, one thinks of the mothers of France who had given their sons to him. One asks oneself whether patriotism should exact such prodigious sacrifice. One wonders whether after all the enemies of Napoleon were not right in denouncing him as the world's greatest criminal.

These doubts and questionings are not to be answered easily. In our profound horror of such bloodshed, in our overwhelming pity for the nation which has been drained of its best blood, we are apt to overlook the merit of him. Napoleon now, as before, was

compelled by circumstance. Perhaps the invasion of Russia can never be forgiven. That, if any, was Napoleon's great crime. But after Moscow, when the Powers of Europe were allied to crush him and to shatter his Empire, he had to defend France herself. As the ruler of the French people, raised to that supreme power by the voice of the people, he had achieved their desire for glory and had carried their ideals of liberty over the old boundaries of European kingdoms. If Napoleon was to blame so also was France. The man of destiny, he had been impelled forward by those forces of democracy which had been let loose in the Revolution. Now he had to fight against a great tide of reaction, which threatened to sweep away all that France had struggled for since the day when Camille Desmoulins had first called to arms and when the people had marched against the defenders of the old régime. In calling for new armies Napoleon was not prompted only by a desire to wipe out his great personal defeat, but by the necessity of defending to the last gasp the honour and safety and liberty of the nation over whom he had been placed.

He failed after many battles, ending with Leipzig, during which he seemed to have regained the full activity of his genius but to have lost his "guiding star." He fled back to Paris; he knew that his hour was come. Vainly he struggled against the inevitable. Vainly he called upon his old comrades in arms to obey his authority and to remember their traditions. They, who had been raised to the highest rank by him, were the first to demand his abdication. One by one his marshals abandoned him and frankly avowed their intention to hand him over to the Bourbons—the man of Revolution to the heirs of the old régime. He could not believe it at first, but they put the truth brutally before him.

"We have had enough of this," said Macdonald. "We don't want any more compliments . . . . . the thing now is to come to a decision."

"It is time to put an end to this," said Ney. "You may as well make your will; you have lost the confidence of the army."

Marmont and Berthier, his closest comrades, abandoned him also.

That was the darkest hour of Napoleon's life. It was then that his soul gave itself up to despair and the most poignant anguish. It seemed to him inconceivable in human nature that men who owed their fortune to him, whom he had loaded with favours and caresses, who had served with him in all his glorious campaigns, who had been the heroes of the Napoleonic epic, should thus betray him in defeat.

"These men have neither hearts nor entrails!" he cried. "I am conquered less by fortune than by the selfishness and ingratitude of my brothers-in-arms."

That night he tried to commit suicide by taking poison; but it was another defeat. He could not make even King Death his ally. When Constant, his valet, faithful among the few, approached his bedside, where he was in agony, he cried out in a strangled voice—"Marmont has given me the final blow. The wretch! I loved him! Berthier's abandonment has distressed me. My old friends, my old fellow-soldiers!"

Afterwards, when he signed his abdication, he became calm and wonderfully resigned. He even seemed anxious to escape to exile and to peace. At Elba he regained his cheerfulness and his activity; and when in the last adventure he landed again on the shores of France, and marched triumphantly to Paris greeted by the acclamations of his old soldiers, who, in spite of all they had suffered, remembered that by this man they had gained an immortality of glory, remembered also his continual kindness to them, his comradeship, and the thousand qualities which had bound them to him by every instinct of hero-worship, Napoleon regained for a little while his imperial mastery over the hearts of men; but

only for a little while. Great as his genius still was, he was no longer the Napoleon of the Italian campaign. The sun of Austerlitz was no longer his. Undoubtedly his physical condition affected his great brain. He had grown corpulent, and it had robbed him of some of his old energy. His spirit was fettered by the past. There were other reasons for the inevitable and final failure which fulfilled his destiny. The European Powers were allied against him, his own nation was divided in its allegiance, and, as Englishmen, we have a right to believe that Wellington and his army were the irresistible force which, by a military genius greater even than Napoleon's in these days of his failing powers, and by a heroism worthy of such an heroic enemy as the veterans and young recruits of France, could not be withstood.

At Waterloo, Napoleon lost the last card in the great game and returned to Paris broken-hearted.

At the Elysée, "he endeavoured," says Coulaincourt, "to give vent to the emotions of his heart, but his oppressed respiration permitted him to articulate only broken sentences."

"The army," he said, "has performed prodigies of valour . . . . inconceivable efforts . . . . what troops! . . . . Ney behaved like a madman . . . . He caused my cavalry to be cut to pieces . . . . All has been sacrificed . . . . I am ill and exhausted . . . . I must lie down for an hour or two . . . . . my head burns . . . . . I must take a bath."

After his bath, "it is grievous," he continued, "to think that we should have been overcome after so many heroic efforts. My most brilliant victories do not shed more glory on the French army than the defeat of Mont St. Jean . . . . Our troops have not been beaten—they have been sacrificed—massacred by overwhelming numbers . . . . my guards suffered themselves to be cut to pieces without asking for quarter . . . . I wished to have

died with them, but they exclaimed, 'Withdraw, withdraw, you see that Death has resolved to spare your Majesty.' And opening their ranks, my old grenadiers screened me from their carnage, forming around me a rampart of their bodies . . . . my brave, my admirable guards, have been destroyed . . . . and I have not perished with them."

With a torrent of words he explained all the bold manœuvres he had intended to carry out frustrated by the combined armies, supported by immense reserves, and by the desertion of his allies on the eve of the battle.

"Oh! this baseness is incredible. The annals of the French army offer no precedent for such a crime. Jomini was not a Frenchman. The consequences of his defection have been most serious . . . . My orders were not properly understood, and consequently there was some degree of hesitation in executing them. At one time Grouchy was too late; at another Ney was carried away by his enthusiasm and intrepidity. He exposed himself to danger like any common soldier without looking before or behind him, and his troops were sacrificed without any necessity. It is deplorable to think of it. Our army performed prodigies of valour, and yet we have lost the battle. Generals, marshals, all fought gloriously, but, nevertheless, an indescribable uncertainty and anxiety pervaded the commanders of the army. There was no unity, no precision in the movements, and," he added with powerful emotion, "I have been assured that cries of '*Sauve qui peut*' were uttered. I cannot believe this. What I suffered, Coulaincourt, was worse than the tortures of Fontainebleau. I feel that I have had my death wound. The blow I received at Waterloo is mortal!"

Later, he said, with infinite melancholy—"I am already indifferent about my future fate, and I endure life without attaching myself to it by any alluring chimeras. I carry with me from France

recollections which will constitute at once the charm and torment of the remainder of my days. A bitter and incurable regret must ever be connected with this last phase of my singular career. Alas! what will become of my army—my brave, my unparalleled army?"

So we reach "the last phase" when, at St. Helena, this man who had created and ruled a great Empire, who had dominated the western world by his sheer force of genius, wore out his heart in miserable exile, insulted by those who guarded him, and tortured by the memory of his former power.

But it is now, in these days of exile and degradation, that the soul of Napoleon is revealed in all its greatness as well as in all its weakness.

After the feverish excitement of the last two years, when perhaps he was a little touched by madness, his spirit became calm and wonderfully sane.

He lived only in the past, and reviewed his life with intense and continual interest. It seemed sometimes as if this Napoleon of St. Helena could look back upon the Napoleon of Corsica and France as upon another and separate being. But he desired to justify himself to posterity, and his weakness is shown in his Memoirs which he dictated to his secretaries, when he deliberately falsified his account of his actions in order to excuse a blunder, or palliate his faults of policy.

But in his conversation with men like O'Meara he had a greater candour, and although always he endeavoured to defend himself against hostile opinions, there is the ring of sincerity in this *apologia pro vita sua.* On the whole, too, in spite of the bitterness with which he remembered his abandonment, he spoke with generosity and justice about his old companions in arms. He could even forgive their desertion:

"The greater number of those who abandoned me, had I continued to be fortunate, would not have thought themselves capable of such conduct. There are the virtues and vices of circumstances; our last experiences are above all human power: and then I have been abandoned rather than betrayed. There has been more weakness about me than treachery. . . . Besides that, who in all history had more partisans and friends? Who was more popular and more beloved? Who ever left sharper and more ardent regrets?"

Each day was an increasing torture to him. His mind, still active and restless, fretted against his intolerable confinement of body and spirit. Only in conversation could he find a relief and temporary forgetfulness, and the man of action became a philosopher. He had an inspired imagination, and upon many of the great problems of life he gave opinions which revealed his amazing knowledge of human nature and his vast political experience. Many of his words were prophecies which have come true. The progress of democracy and of the liberal ideas which he predicted have been fulfilled.

But the soul of the man was on the rack, until at last the increasing disease of the body gave him a mental rest. He fell into a kind of torpor.

"Doctor," he said, "what a delightful thing rest is! The bed has become a luxury to me! I would not exchange it for all the thrones in the world. What an alteration! How fallen am I! I, whose activity was boundless, whose mind never slumbered, am now plunged in a lethargic stupor and must make an effort even to raise my eyelids. I sometimes dictated upon different subjects to four or five secretaries who wrote as fast as words could be uttered; but then I was Napoleon, now I am no longer anything. My strength, my faculties forsake me. I do not live; I merely exist."

On the evening before his death he spoke a good deal, and hummed his favourite air :—

"O Richard, ô mon roi,
L'univers t'abandonne—"

The next morning he said, realising that his end was near—"There is nothing terrible in death ; he has been on my pillow for the last three weeks, and now he is about to take me away for ever."

His last words were—"My God! . . . . . and the French Nation . . . . . my son . . . . . France! France!"

So he went across the Great River to rejoin the heroes with whom he had fought. In spite of all his great errors, and of some crimes, it can hardly be denied that the soul of Napoleon was not mean or vicious. It was a lofty soul animated with high purposes, though based perhaps upon false ideals, a soul very full of human affection, and filled with the desire to raise men to higher planes of liberty and intellect. He left a great tradition. The name of Napoleon still stirs one with a sense of grandeur, and with feelings of awe at the prodigious influence of one man's genius and will-power over the destinies of great nations. Without being blind to all that was false in his political conceptions and to an insatiable ambition which cost so many thousands of gallant lives, one must admit that Napoleon was not a monster of iniquity, but a man of very human qualities intensified by a vast imagination and surrounded by the temptations of his supreme position.

NAPOLEON (VERSAILLES)
By Philippoteaux

Photo. Mansell & Co.

BUONAPARTE AT ARCOLE (DETAIL) (LOUVRE)
By Gros

Photo. Mansell & Co.

BONAPARTE REVIEWING THE CONSULAR GUARDS
By C. Turner. After Masquerier

Photo. Mansell & Co.

BONAPARTE, 1ST CONSUL, AT MALMAISON (VERSAILLES)
By Isabey

NAPOLEON CROSSING THE ALPS (VERSAILLES)
By David

Photo. Mansell & Co.

BUONAPARTE
J. T. Rusca, Pinxit. C. H. Hodges, Sculp.

NAPOLEON AT FRIEDLAND (DETAIL) (VERSAILLES)
By Horace Vernet

Photo. Mansell & Co.

BUONAPARTE. GÉNÉRAL EN CHEF DE L'ARMÉE D'ITALIE
By Alix. After Fragonard fils

NAPOLEON AT JENA (DETAIL) (VERSAILLES)
By Horace Vernet

Photo. Mansell & Co.

NAPOLEON, 8 MARS, 1812
By Girodet-Troison

NAPOLEON AT WAGRAM (DETAIL) (VERSAILLES)
By Horace Vernet

Photo. Mansell & Co.

NAPOLEON, 1814
By Meissonier. Reproduced by permission of C. Kluckner, 20 Old Bond Street, London, and 7 West 28th Street, New York, publisher of the Engraving.

THE MEETING OF NAPOLEON AND FRANCIS II. AFTER AUSTERLITZ (VERSAILLES)
By Gros

Photo Mansell & Co.

NAPOLEON
From a Lithograph by W. Fairland, after Charlet

L'APUGÉE, 1807

By C. A. Coppier, after J. L. E. Meissonier. Reproduced by kind permission of C. Klackner, 20 Old Bond Street, London, W., and 7 West 28th Street, New York, publisher of the Engraving.



L'EMPEREUR

Etched by L. Ruet, after Meissonier. Reproduced by permission of C. Klackner, 20 Old Bond Street, London and 7 West 28th Street, New York, U.S.A., publisher of the Engraving.

NAPOLEON LE GRAND

Empereur des Français

et Roi d'Italie

NAPOLEON AT ST. HELENA

By Laporte, after Paul Delaroche. Reproduced by permission of C. Klackner, 20 Old Bond Street, London, and 7 West 28th Street, New York, U.S.A., publisher of the Engraving.

NAPOLEON ON THE BELLEROPHON
By C. L. Eastlake, R.A.

NAPOLEON
David, 1812. l'Eau Forte par Vallot. Laugier 1835

NAPOLÉON
Empereur des Français, Roi d'Italie.

BATAILLE D'AUSTERLITZ

Engraved by W. Nicholls.

NAPOLÉON BUONAPARTE

NAPOLEON BUONAPARTE
By Robert Cooper. After David.

NAPOLEON BUONAPARTE
By T. Lupton. After Robert Lefèvre.

Drawn from life by Vauthier
Engraved by P. Audouin

SKETCH OF NAPOLEON FROM LIFE
In the possession of the Rev. R. A. Gatty

NAPOLEON
Painted and Engraved by C. H. Hodges.

SIEGE OF ST. JEAN D'ACRE
By Charlet

BONAPARTE AS SENTRY
By Charlet

NAPOLEON
By Charlet

NAPOLEON DISTRIBUTING EAGLES TO HIS ARMY. *By David*

THE COLUMN OF ROSBACH. *By Debret*

NAPOLEON AT THE PALAIS DE SCHOENBRUNN (13TH DEC., 1805)
By L. Marin

BONAPARTE ENTERING VIENNA
By Girodet

NAPOLEON AT AUSTERLITZ. *By C. Vernet*

NAPOLEON AT EYLAU. *By Gros*

"A NOUS DEUX L'EUROPE!"
(The Emperor Napoleon and Emperor Alexander of Russia, after Friedland)
From a Print in the British Museum

BONAPARTE
GENERAL EN CHEF (ARMÉE D'ITALIE 1796)
By Charlet

1805
By Charlet

GENERAL RAPP ANNOUNCING TO THE EMPEROR THE RETREAT OF THE RUSSIANS AT AUSTERLITZ
By Gérard

THE EXILE AND THE ROCK LIMPET, 1842
By J. M. W. Turner, R.A. (Tate Gallery)

BINDING SECT. APR 3 1964